

# Intensive In-Home Services Annual Report Fiscal Year 2014



JEREMIAH W. (JAY) NIXON, GOVERNOR • BRIAN KINKADE, ACTING DIRECTOR

TIM DECKER, DIRECTOR
CHILDREN'S DIVISION

P.O. BOX 88 • JEFFERSON CITY, MO 65103-0088 WWW.DSS.MO.GOV • 573-522-8024 • 573-526-3971 FAX

December 10, 2014

#### Dear Reader:

The Children's Division is pleased to present the Intensive In-Home Services Annual Report for Fiscal Year 2014. Material in this report covers the activities during Fiscal Year 2014 (July 1, 2013 through June 30, 2014).

This report has been designed to provide information about Intensive In-home Services in Missouri. The report includes information regarding children and families referred, served, and exiting Intensive In-home Services. We hope the information will be useful to all who are interested in the accomplishments of the Intensive In-home Services program in Missouri. Every graph or chart reflects a Missouri family or child served through this program. It demonstrates Missouri's commitment to keeping children safely at home. We want to thank all staff, both public and private, who make this program work.

Any questions about the report should be directed to the Children's Division or the Research and Evaluation Unit, both located within the Department of Social Services.

RELAY MISSOURI
FOR HEARING AND SPEECH IMPAIRED
1-800-735-2466 VOICE • 1-800-735-2966 TEXT PHONE

# **Table of Contents**

Families and Children Referred and Served	1
Table 1. Families Referred for IIS	2
Table 2. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted	4
Table 3. Families and Children Accepted	6
Table 4. Families Accepted by Referral Source Code	8
Table 5. Families and Children Accepted by Month	10
Table 6. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted Percentages	10
Table 7. Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention	11
Table 8. Duration of IIS Intervention by Site	11
Table 9. Families Exiting IIS by Exit Status	12
Notes: Families and Children Referred and Served Tables	14
Heads of Household Served	16
Table 10. Head of Household Age for Families Accepted	17
Table 11. Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted	19
Table 12. Head of Household Race for Families Accepted	21
Table 13. Head of Household Employment for Families Accepted	23
Table 14. Head of Household Income for Families Accepted	27
Table 15. Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted	29
Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables	30
At-Risk Children Served	31
Table 16. Age of At-Risk Children Accepted	32
Table 17. Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted	34
Table 18. Race of At-Risk Children Accepted	36
Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category	38
Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented	42
Table 21. At-Risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted	46
Notes: At-Risk Children Served Tables	47
Outcomes for At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services	48
Table 22. Checking for 1 <sup>st</sup> Substantiated CA/N Report for At-Risk Children	49
Table 23. At-Risk Children Exiting IIS who were listed as victims of a	
Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report within 48 months of their exit	49
Table 24. Checking for 1 <sup>st</sup> Entry into Legal Status 1 for At-Risk Children	50
Table 25. At-Risk Children Exiting IIS who entered LS1 within 48 months	
of their exit	50
Notes: Outcomes Tables	51



Table 1. Families Referred for IIS During FY 2014

						ACCEPT	ED FOR	
SITE	COUNTY		EPTED		CCEPTED		ENING	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON * SITE TOTAL *	173 <b>173</b>	52.1% <b>52.1%</b>	156 <b>156</b>	47.0% <b>47.0%</b>	3 <b>3</b>	.9% <b>.9%</b>	332 <b>332</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	229	53.5%	197	46.0%	2	.5%	428
	ST LOUIS CITY * SITE TOTAL *	190 <b>419</b>	42.7% <b>48.0%</b>	249 <b>446</b>	56.0% <b>51.1%</b>	6 <b>8</b>	1.3% <b>.9%</b>	445 <b>873</b>
CITE 722			42.5%		57.5%			
SITE 733	BOONE CALLAWAY	31 15	42.5% 68.2%	42 7	31.8%	0 0	.0% .0%	73 22
	COLE	32	64.0%	17	34.0%	1	2.0%	50
	HOWARD	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	0	.0%	9
	RANDOLPH	30	62.5%	18	37.5%	0	.0%	48
	* SITE TOTAL *	112	55.4%	89	44.1%	1	.5%	202
<b>SITE 734</b>	ANDREW	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	6
	ATCHISON	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	BUCHANAN	19	21.6%	69	78.4%	0	.0%	88
	CALDWELL CLINTON	1 4	20.0% 25.0%	4 12	80.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	5 16
	DAVIESS	3	42.9%	4	75.0% 57.1%	0	.0%	7
	DE KALB	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	0	.0%	7
	GENTRY	4	26.7%	11	73.3%	0	.0%	15
	HOLT	1	100.0%	0	.0%	ő	.0%	1
	LIVINGSTON	0	.0%	6	100.0%	0	.0%	6
	NODAWAY	8	34.8%	14	60.9%	1	4.3%	23
	WORTH	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	48	26.2%	134	73.2%	1	.5%	183
SITE 735	CARTER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	0	.0%	9
	DENT HOWELL	4	100.0% 44.4%	0 5	.0% 55.6%	0 0	.0% .0%	4
	IRON	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	9 6
	MARIES	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	1
	PHELPS	17	53.1%	15	46.9%	0	.0%	32
	PULASKI	9	20.5%	34	77.3%	1	2.3%	44
	REYNOLDS	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHANNON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	TEXAS	6	40.0%	9	60.0%	0	.0%	15
	WAYNE * SITE TOTAL *	7 <b>62</b>	100.0% <b>45.3%</b>	0 <b>74</b>	.0% <b>54.0%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>.7%</b>	7 <b>137</b>
SITE 736	BATES	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	0	.0%	9
311E /30	BENTON	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	0	.0%	8
	CAMDEN	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	0	.0%	19
	DALLAS	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	12
	HENRY	7	10.4%	60	89.6%	0	.0%	67
	LACLEDE	28	54.9%	23	45.1%	0	.0%	51
	MILLER	4	33.3%	8	66.7%	0	.0%	12
	MONITEAU	6	42.9%	8	57.1%	0	.0%	14
	MORGAN	8	53.3%	7	46.7%	0	.0%	15
	POLK	10	40.0%	13	52.0%	2	8.0%	25
	ST CLAIR WEBSTER	1 15	10.0% 30.0%	9 34	90.0% 68.0%	0 1	.0% 2.0%	10 50
	* SITE TOTAL *	<b>107</b>	36.6%	182	62.3%	3	1.0%	292
SITE 737	BARTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CEDAR	10	100.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	10
	DADE	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	6
	JASPER	36	30.0%	84	70.0%	0	.0%	120
	MCDONALD	12	35.3%	21	61.8%	1	2.9%	34
	NEWTON	26	42.6%	34	55.7%	1	1.6%	61
	VERNON	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	96	40.0%	142	59.2%	2	.8%	240
SITE 738	BARRY CHRISTIAN	9	64.3% 27.9%	4	28.6% 69.8%	1 1	7.1% 2.3%	14 43
	DOUGLAS	12 14	27.9% 53.8%	30 10	69.8% 38.5%	2	2.3% 7.7%	43 26
	LAWRENCE	14	63.6%	5	22.7%	3	13.6%	22
	OZARK	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	5
	STONE	11	73.3%	2	13.3%	2	13.3%	15
	TANEY	31	41.9%	37	50.0%	6	8.1%	74
	WRIGHT	18	78.3%	4	17.4%	1	4.3%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	110	49.6%	95	42.8%	17	7.7%	222

Table 1. Families Referred for IIS During FY 2014

		_				ACCEPT	ED FOR	
SITE	COUNTY	ACC	EPTED	NOT A	CCEPTED	SCREE	NING	TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE * SITE TOTAL *	102 <b>102</b>	33.8% <b>33.8%</b>	173 <b>173</b>	57.3% <b>57.3%</b>	27 <b>27</b>	8.9% <b>8.9%</b>	302 <b>302</b>
	SHETOTAL	102	33.070	1,3	37.370		0.570	302
SITE 740	CHARITON	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	10
	GRUNDY	8	53.3%	7	46.7%	0	.0%	15
	HARRISON	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	0	.0%	8
	LINN	13	32.5%	27	67.5%	0	.0%	40
	MERCER	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4
	PUTNAM	1 2	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3 7
	SULLIVAN * SITE TOTAL *	34	28.6% <b>39.1%</b>	5 <b>53</b>	71.4% <b>60.9%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	87
SITE 831	LINCOLN	7	15.6%	38	84.4%	0	.0%	45
3115 931	MARION	8	26.7%	21	70.0%	1	3.3%	30
	MONROE	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	Ō	.0%	5
	PIKE	10	29.4%	24	70.6%	Ō	.0%	34
	RALLS	3	16.7%	15	83.3%	Ö	.0%	18
	ST CHARLES	38	31.4%	82	67.8%	1	.8%	121
	* SITE TOTAL *	68	26.9%	183	72.3%	2	.8%	253
SITE 931	ADAIR	20	62.5%	12	37.5%	0	.0%	32
	CLARK	6	50.0%	6	50.0%	0	.0%	12
	KNOX	0	.0%	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	LEWIS	10	66.7%	5	33.3%	0	.0%	15
	MACON	11	45.8%	13	54.2%	0	.0%	24
	SCHUYLER	5	41.7%	7	58.3%	0	.0%	12
	SCOTLAND	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	0	.0%	7
	SHELBY	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	0	.0%	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	61	54.0%	52	46.0%	0	.0%	113
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	19	61.3%	12	38.7%	0	.0%	31
	FRANKLIN	25	78.1%	7	21.9%	0	.0%	32
	GASCONADE JEFFERSON	7 64	58.3% 44.4%	5 80	41.7% 55.6%	0 0	.0% .0%	12 144
	MONTGOMERY	7	70.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	10
	OSAGE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	WARREN	22	68.8%	10	31.3%	0	.0%	32
	* SITE TOTAL *	145	55.1%	118	44.9%	Ŏ	.0%	263
SITE 933	CASS	25	52.1%	23	47.9%	0	.0%	48
	COOPER	10	58.8%	7	41.2%	0	.0%	17
	JOHNSON	10	55.6%	8	44.4%	0	.0%	18
	LAFAYETTE	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	0	.0%	19
	PETTIS	20	64.5%	11	35.5%	0	.0%	31
	SALINE	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	84	57.9%	61	42.1%	0	.0%	145
<b>SITE 934</b>	CARROLL	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLAY	16	43.2%	21	56.8%	0	.0%	37
	PLATTE	7	77.8%	1	11.1%	1	11.1%	9
	RAY * SITE TOTAL *	4 <b>28</b>	100.0% <b>53.8%</b>	0 <b>23</b>	.0% <b>44.2%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>1.9%</b>	4 <b>52</b>
	SHE IOIAL	26	33.6%	23	44.2/0	1	1.5/0	32
SITE 935	BOLLINGER CAPE GIRARDEAU	11	73.3%	4	26.7% 29.8%	0	.0%	15
	MADISON	39 10	68.4% 62.5%	17 6	29.8% 37.5%	1 0	1.8% .0%	57 16
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	PERRY	16	72.7%	6	27.3%	0	.0%	22
	ST FRANCOIS	52	80.0%	13	20.0%	0	.0%	65
	STE GENEVIEVE	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	Ö	.0%	13
	SCOTT	10	50.0%	10	50.0%	0	.0%	20
	WASHINGTON	14	82.4%	3	17.6%	0	.0%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	163	70.9%	66	28.7%	1	.4%	230
SITE 936	BUTLER	23	74.2%	8	25.8%	0	.0%	31
	DUNKLIN	10	35.7%	17	60.7%	1	3.6%	28
	NEW MADRID	6	50.0%	6	50.0%	0	.0%	12
	PEMISCOT	10	71.4%	4	28.6%	0	.0%	14
	RIPLEY STODDARD	7 14	70.0% 70.0%	3 6	30.0% 30.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	10 20
	* SITE TOTAL *	7 <b>0</b>	60.9%	44	38.3%	1	.0% . <b>9%</b>	115
STATE TO	TAL	1,882	46.6%	2,091	51.7%	68	1.7%	4,041
		_,552		-,				.,. /-

Table 2. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2014

#### **Reason Not Accepted Codes**

									-ccc		Joues							
SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON * SITE TOTAL *	9 <b>9</b>	7 <b>7</b>	<b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>	22 <b>22</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	22 <b>22</b>	<b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>1</b>	34 <b>34</b>	8 <b>8</b>	0 <b>0</b>	41 <b>41</b>	147 <b>147</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	65	9	5	18	94	2	38	53	0	0	0	6	0	39	0	26	355
	ST LOUIS CITY	92	5	8	16	63	1	53	44	0	0	0	7	0	28	0	61	378
	* SITE TOTAL *	157	14	13	34	157	3	91	97	0	0	0	13	0	67	0	87	733
<b>SITE 733</b>	BOONE	36	16	1	0	0	0	3	10	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	73
	CALLAWAY	3	7	0	0	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	20
	COLE HOWARD	2 0	31 0	1 1	4 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	7 1	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	1 0	0 0	0	46 2
	RANDOLPH	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	27
	* SITE TOTAL *	41	54	3	5	3	0	3	46	0	0	0	7	0	1	0	5	168
SITE 734	ANDREW	9	0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14
	ATCHISON	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	BUCHANAN	47	5 0	3	11	21	1	0 0	1 2	0	0	0	0	0 0	3 0	0	7 0	99 5
	CALDWELL CLINTON	3 6	1	0 0	0 3	0 1	0 0	0	3	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	1	0	1	16
	DAVIESS	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	DE KALB	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	GENTRY LIVINGSTON	8 2	0 0	0 0	2 0	2 0	1 0	0	5 2	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	18 5
	NODAWAY	3	3	0	3	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	17
	WORTH	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	83	12	3	19	28	3	0	23	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	10	189
<b>SITE 735</b>	CRAWFORD	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	DENT	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	HOWELL IRON	0 0	5 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	2 0	4 3	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	11 3
	MARIES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	PHELPS	2	0	3	0	2	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23
	PULASKI	0	2	3	3	2	0	2	26	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	40
	REYNOLDS TEXAS	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 7	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	3 0	3 8
	* SITE TOTAL *	2	15	6	4	6	Ö	4	61	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö	1	Ö	4	103
SITE 736	BATES	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7
	BENTON	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	7
	CAMDEN	0	16	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	DALLAS HENRY	0 3	5 56	0 4	0 6	0 6	0 0	0	0 3	0 0	0 0	0	0 3	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 16	5 97
	LACLEDE	0	29	Ö	Ö	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	33
	MILLER	0	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	19
	MONITEAU MORGAN	0	22 5	0 3	0 3	4 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	26 11
	POLK	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	22
	ST CLAIR	0	13	Ö	Ō	0	Ö	Ö	1	Ō	0	Ō	0	0	Ö	0	2	16
	WEBSTER	0	36	5	2	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	49
CITE 727	* SITE TOTAL *	3	230	13	11	11	0	1	14	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	22	309
SITE 737	DADE JASPER	0 2	6 9	0 0	0	0 0	0 1	0 0	0 66	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0 14	0 0	0 0	0	6 92
	MCDONALD	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	22	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	31
	NEWTON	9	3	0	0	0	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	38
	VERNON * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>13</b>	0 <b>18</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>4</b>	1 <b>1</b>	0 <b>1</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>107</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>24</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>1</b>	2 <b>169</b>
SITE 738	BARRY CHRISTIAN	0 5	0 2	2 0	0 9	0 12	0 0	0 0	3 6	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 4	1 0	0	0 9	6 47
	DOUGLAS	3	3	0	0	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	47 18
	LAWRENCE	0	0	0	0	0	Ő	Ö	0	0	0	Ö	Ö	Ö	0	0	1	1
	OZARK	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	9
	STONE TANEY	0 6	2 27	0 7	2 6	3 14	0 0	0 1	0 3	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 4	0 0	0 0	0	7 71
	WRIGHT	0	2	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	36	12	17	45	Ö	1	15	Ö	Ō	Ö	1	8	3	Ō	16	168

Table 2. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2014

#### **Reason Not Accepted Codes**

						110	asuii	NOU	Accep	ieu c	Joues							
SITE	COUNTY	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE * SITE TOTAL *	9 <b>9</b>	9 <b>9</b>	2 <b>2</b>	13 <b>13</b>	8 <b>8</b>	0 <b>0</b>	3 <b>3</b>	83 <b>83</b>	2 <b>2</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>1</b>	4 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	8 <b>8</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>4</b>	146 <b>146</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	2	7	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	GRUNDY	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	12
	HARRISON	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	6
	LINN	6	22	2	2	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	39
	MERCER	0	4	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	PUTNAM	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
	SULLIVAN  * SITE TOTAL *	14 <b>24</b>	1 <b>42</b>	0 <b>2</b>	0 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>2</b>	2 <b>19</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>1</b>	0 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>1</b>	17 <b>100</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN	7	49	15	0	1	0	2	7	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	89
	MARION	5	17	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	5	45
	MONROE	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	PIKE	5	23	7	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	39
	RALLS ST CHARLES	7 3	4 69	1 1	0 4	0 2	0 0	0 4	0 32	0 0	0 1	0	0 3	0	0	0 0	10 1	22 121
	* SITE TOTAL *	31	<b>162</b>	24	4	3	0	8	42	0	1	0	21	1	1 <b>1</b>	0	22	320
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	CLARK	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	KNOX	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	LEWIS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	MACON	0	4	0	5	0	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	SCHUYLER SCOTLAND	0	0 0	2 0	0 0	0 3	0	0	2 1	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0	1 0	5 4
	SHELBY	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	* SITE TOTAL *	Ŏ	8	2	5	6	Ŏ	Ö	32	2	Ŏ	Ö	Ö	Ŏ	Ŏ	ő	1	56
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	2	10	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	19
	FRANKLIN	0	0	4	3	4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	17
	GASCONADE	4	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	JEFFERSON	3	6	0	2	22	0	5	28	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	15	88
	MONTGOMERY OSAGE	0	3 1	0	3 0	3 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	9 1
	WARREN	4	13	0	2	0	0	3	5	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	33
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	39	5	11	30	Ö	8	39	1	Ö	Ö	1	2	10	Ö	20	179
SITE 933	CASS	7	17	0	0	2	0	4	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	49
	COOPER	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	8
	JOHNSON	3	11	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	20
	LAFAYETTE PETTIS	0	5 6	0	0 4	0 0	0	0	2 6	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	6 0	13 19
	SALINE	0	5	0	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	44	Ö	10	5	Ö	4	27	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö	Ö	2	Ŏ	13	122
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	CLAY	0	4	1	2	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	6	22
	PLATTE	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	RAY * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>5</b>	0 <b>1</b>	1 <b>3</b>	0 <b>6</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>2</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>6</b>	1 <b>27</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5
3111 333	CAPE GIRARDEAU	0	8	0	1	2	0	3	11	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	29
	MADISON	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	Ö	0	1	0	0	6
	MISSISSIPPI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3
	PERRY	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8
	ST FRANCOIS	4	10	0	7	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	4	0	2	0	3	34
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	SCOTT WASHINGTON	0 0	2 4	0 0	1 1	4 0	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	5 0	0	1 0	0 0	0 0	13 5
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	28	Ŏ	11	14	Ö	3	23	1	1	o	9	Ö	9	o	4	107
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	8	0	0	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	19
	DUNKLIN	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	2	18
	NEW MADRID	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
	PEMISCOT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	7
	RIPLEY STODDARD	6 0	0 7	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 10	0 0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	8 0	14 18
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	23	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	0	<b>33</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>7</b>	1 <b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	0	11	86
STATE TO	TAL	429	746	86	159	347	7	128	685	6	2	1	69	71	125	0	268	3,129

Table 3. Families and Children Accepted During FY 2014

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 731	JACKSON	168	454	2.70	382	2.27
	* SITE TOTAL *	168	454	2.70	382	2.27
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	229	605	2.64	436	1.90
	ST LOUIS CITY	186	529	2.84	436	2.34
	* SITE TOTAL *	415	1,134	2.73	872	2.10
SITE 733	BOONE	27	70	2.59	58	2.15
	CALLAWAY COLE	19 34	49 91	2.58 2.68	44 78	2.32 2.29
	HOWARD	4	8	2.00	78 6	1.50
	RANDOLPH	31	77	2.48	64	2.06
	* SITE TOTAL *	115	295	2.57	250	2.17
SITE 734	ANDREW	2	5	2.50	5	2.50
	ATCHISON	3	8	2.67	6	2.00
	BUCHANAN CALDWELL	16 2	53 3	3.31 1.50	41 3	2.56 1.50
	CLINTON	4	9	2.25	8	2.00
	DAVIESS	3	7	2.33	5	1.67
	DE KALB	2	6	3.00	4	2.00
	GENTRY HOLT	4 1	8 3	2.00 3.00	8	2.00 3.00
	NODAWAY	8	19	2.38	17	2.13
	WORTH	1	4	4.00	4	4.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	46	125	2.72	104	2.26
SITE 735	CARTER	1	1	1.00	1	1.00
	CRAWFORD	4	10	2.50	9	2.25
	DENT HOWELL	5 4	17 12	3.40 3.00	14 9	2.80 2.25
	IRON	3	6	2.00	6	2.00
	OREGON	1	2	2.00	2	2.00
	PHELPS	18	41	2.28	32	1.78
	PULASKI REYNOLDS	9 3	25 8	2.78 2.67	21 5	2.33 1.67
	SHANNON	3	8	2.67	7	2.33
	TEXAS	6	14	2.33	13	2.17
	WAYNE * SITE TOTAL *	6 <b>63</b>	20 <b>164</b>	3.33 <b>2.60</b>	18 <b>137</b>	3.00 <b>2.17</b>
CITE 72.0	DATEC		10	2.50	-	4.75
SITE 736	BATES BENTON	4 4	10 11	2.50 2.75	7 6	1.75 1.50
	CAMDEN	12	36	3.00	28	2.33
	DALLAS	8	20	2.50	12	1.50
	HENRY	6	18	3.00	15 52	2.50
	LACLEDE MILLER	26 4	69 12	2.65 3.00	53 12	2.04 3.00
	MONITEAU	5	12	2.40	12	2.40
	MORGAN	8	31	3.88	18	2.25
	POLK ST CLAIR	11 1	30 1	2.73 1.00	29 1	2.64 1.00
	WEBSTER	17	47	2.76	43	2.53
	* SITE TOTAL *	106	297	2.80	236	2.23
SITE 737	BARTON	4	11	2.75	9	2.25
	CEDAR	9	20	2.22	19	2.11
	DADE	5	13	2.60	10	2.00
	JASPER MCDONALD	36 13	99 36	2.75 2.77	89 29	2.47 2.23
	NEWTON	27	63	2.33	56	2.07
	VERNON	4	7	1.75	6	1.50
	* SITE TOTAL *	98	249	2.54	218	2.22
SITE 738	BARRY	11	37	3.36	34	3.09
	CHRISTIAN DOUGLAS	15 16	30 40	2.00 2.50	29 35	1.93 2.19
	LAWRENCE	16	40 47	2.50 2.94	35 41	2.19
	OZARK	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	STONE	14	29	2.07	27	1.93
		25	07	2 40	70	2 22
	TANEY WRIGHT	35 18	87 46	2.49 2.56	78 40	2.23 2.22

Table 3. Families and Children Accepted During FY 2014

SITE	COUNTY	TOTAL FAMILIES ACCEPTED	TOTAL CHILDREN ACCEPTED	AVERAGE # OF CHILDREN PER FAMILY	AT-RISK CHILDREN ACCEPTED	OF AT-RISK CHILDREN PER FAMILY
SITE 739	GREENE	125	295	2.36	268	2.14
3112 733	* SITE TOTAL *	125	295	2.36	268	2.14
SITE 740	CHARITON	4	8	2.00	8	2.00
	GRUNDY	9	23	2.56	21	2.33
	HARRISON	4	10	2.50	10	2.50
	LINN	14	33	2.36	27	1.93
	MERCER SULLIVAN	1 3	4 9	4.00 3.00	4 8	4.00 2.67
	* SITE TOTAL *	35	<b>87</b>	2.49	<b>78</b>	2.07 2.23
SITE 831	LINCOLN	8	24	3.00	22	2.75
	MARION	7	19	2.71	10	1.43
	MONROE	2	3	1.50	3	1.50
	PIKE	11	26	2.36	26	2.36
	RALLS	2	4	2.00	4	2.00
	ST CHARLES  * SITE TOTAL *	38 <b>68</b>	85 <b>161</b>	2.24 <b>2.37</b>	70 <b>135</b>	1.84 <b>1.99</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR	19	42	2.21	39	2.05
J JJ _	CLARK	6	16	2.67	15	2.50
	LEWIS	10	22	2.20	18	1.80
	MACON	11	31	2.82	21	1.91
	SCHUYLER	5	15	3.00	14	2.80
	SCOTLAND	4	11	2.75	8	2.00
	SHELBY	5	12	2.40	10	2.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	60	149	2.48	125	2.08
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	20	46	2.30	32	1.60
	FRANKLIN	24	73	3.04	67	2.79
	GASCONADE	7	21	3.00	20	2.86
	JEFFERSON MONTGOMERY	65 8	164 22	2.52 2.75	128 19	1.97 2.38
	OSAGE	1	3	3.00	3	3.00
	WARREN	23	67	2.91	58	2.52
	* SITE TOTAL *	148	396	2.68	327	2.21
SITE 933	CASS	24	63	2.63	52	2.17
	COOPER	10	21	2.10	19	1.90
	JOHNSON	10	26	2.60	22	2.20
	LAFAYETTE	10	22	2.20	17	1.70
	PETTIS SALINE	23 7	72 13	3.13 1.86	51 10	2.22 1.43
	* SITE TOTAL *	84	217	2.58	<b>171</b>	2.04
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	5	5.00	5	5.00
	CLAY	17	41	2.41	37	2.18
	PLATTE	8	25	3.13	19	2.38
	RAY	4	9	2.25	4	1.00
	* SITE TOTAL *	30	80	2.67	65	2.17
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	11	25	2.27	19	1.73
	CAPE GIRARDEAU MADISON	39 9	110 22	2.82 2.44	80 19	2.05 2.11
	MISSISSIPPI	2	3	1.50	3	1.50
	PERRY	17	38	2.24	24	1.41
	ST FRANCOIS	54	113	2.09	96	1.78
	STE GENEVIEVE	11	21	1.91	16	1.45
	SCOTT	8	16	2.00	14	1.75
	WASHINGTON * SITE TOTAL *	12 <b>163</b>	28 <b>376</b>	2.33 <b>2.31</b>	18 <b>289</b>	1.50 <b>1.77</b>
SITE 936	BUTLER	22	57	2.59	43	1.95
J L JJ0	DUNKLIN	9	31	3.44	28	3.11
	NEW MADRID	6	13	2.17	12	2.00
	PEMISCOT	10	34	3.40	33	3.30
	RIPLEY	7	15	2.14	14	2.00
	STODDARD * SITE TOTAL *	13 <b>67</b>	34 <b>184</b>	2.62 <b>2.75</b>	31 <b>161</b>	2.38 <b>2.40</b>
	JIIL IOIAL	07	104	2.73	101	2.40

Table 4. Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2014

				IN- PATIENT	OUT- PATIENT			PRIVATE		LAW EN-						
		FAMILY/		DMH	DMH	DSS	DSS	MENTAL	SCHOOL	FORCE-			JUVENILE			
SITE SITE 731	COUNTY	FRIEND		CPS	CPS	CD 163			SYSTEM	MENT	COURT			OTHER M	IISSING 0	
3116 /31	JACKSON * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	163 <b>163</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>1</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	4 <b>4</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0	168 <b>168</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	18	0	3	181	0	13	7	0	5	0	2	0	0	229
	ST LOUIS CITY  * SITE TOTAL *	1 1	14 <b>32</b>	0 <b>0</b>	1 <b>4</b>	155 <b>336</b>	0 <b>0</b>	12 <b>25</b>	3 <b>10</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>5</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>2</b>	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	186 <b>415</b>
	SILLIOIAL	-	32	·	•	330	·	23	10	·	,	·	_	Ū	·	413
<b>SITE 733</b>	BOONE	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	27
	CALLAWAY COLE	0	0	0	0	19 34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	19 34
	HOWARD	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4
	RANDOLPH	0	0	0	0	29	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	31
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	112	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	115
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	ATCHISON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	BUCHANAN CALDWELL	0	0	0	0	14 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0 0	0	16 2
	CLINTON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	DAVIESS	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	DE KALB	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	GENTRY HOLT	0	0	0	0	4 1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	4 1
	NODAWAY	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ö	0	8
	WORTH	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	44	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	46
<b>SITE 735</b>	CARTER	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	CRAWFORD DENT	0	0	0	0	3 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0 0	0	4 5
	HOWELL	0	0	0	0	5 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 4
	IRON	0	0	0	Õ	3	0	Ö	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	OREGON	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	PHELPS PULASKI	0	0	0	0	17 9	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	0	18 9
	REYNOLDS	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	SHANNON	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
	TEXAS WAYNE	0	0 0	0	0	5 6	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	0	6 6
	* SITE TOTAL *	Ö	Ŏ	Ŏ	ő	60	ŏ	Ö	ő	Ő	2	ŏ	1	ŏ	ŏ	63
SITE 736	BATES	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
311E /30	BENTON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	CAMDEN	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
	DALLAS HENRY	0	0	0	0	8 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	8 6
	LACLEDE	0	0	0	0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	26
	MILLER	0	Ō	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	MONITEAU MORGAN	0	0 0	0	0	5 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	5 8
	POLK	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
	ST CLAIR	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	WEBSTER	0	0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	105	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	106
<b>SITE 737</b>	BARTON	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
	CEDAR DADE	0	0 0	0	0	9 5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	9 5
	JASPER	0	0	0	0	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Ö	0	36
	MCDONALD	0	0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	13
	NEWTON VERNON	0	1 0	0	0	26 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	27 4
	* SITE TOTAL *	Ö	1	Ŏ	ő	96	ŏ	Ö		Ő	Ŏ	ŏ	1	ŏ	ŏ	98
SITE 738	BARRY	0	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11
JIIL /30	CHRISTIAN	0	0	0	0	10	0	0		0	0	0	5	0	0	15
	DOUGLAS	0	0	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
	LAWRENCE OZARK	0	0	0	0 0	16 2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	16
	STONE	0	0	0	0	2 14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 14
	TANEY	0	1	0	0	34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35
	WRIGHT	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	18	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0	0	0 <b>0</b>	0	0 <b>0</b>	0 <b>0</b>	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	Ü	1	U	U	121	U	0	U	0	0	U	5	U	U	127

Table 4. Families Accepted by Referral Source Code During FY 2014

				IN- PATIFNT	OUT- PATIENT			PRIVATE		LAW EN-						
		FAMILY/		DMH	DMH	DSS	DSS	MENTAL	SCHOOL	FORCE-		DSS	JUVENILE			
SITE SITE 739	COUNTY GREENE	FRIEND 0	SELF 0	<b>CPS</b> 0	<b>CPS</b> 0	<b>CD</b> 125	<b>DYS</b>	<b>HEALTH</b> 0	SYSTEM 0	<b>MENT</b> 0	COURT 0	<b>FSD</b> 0	OFFICER 0	OTHER M	ISSING 0	TOTAL 125
311E /39	* SITE TOTAL *	0	0	0	0	125 125	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<b>0</b>	0	125 125
SITE 740	CHARITON GRUNDY HARRISON LINN MERCER SULLIVAN * SITE TOTAL *	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	4 9 4 13 1 3 <b>34</b>	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	4 9 4 14 1 3 <b>35</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN MARION MONROE PIKE RALLS ST CHARLES * SITE TOTAL *	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 2 <b>2</b>	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	8 7 2 11 2 36 <b>66</b>	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	8 7 2 11 2 38 <b>68</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR CLARK LEWIS MACON SCHUYLER SCOTLAND SHELBY * SITE TOTAL *	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	19 6 10 11 5 3 4 58	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 <b>1</b>	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	19 6 10 11 5 4 5
SITE 932	AUDRAIN FRANKLIN GASCONADE JEFFERSON MONTGOMERY OSAGE WARREN * SITE TOTAL *	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	15 24 7 63 6 1 22 <b>138</b>	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	5 0 0 1 2 0 1 <b>9</b>	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	20 24 7 65 8 1 23 148
SITE 933	CASS COOPER JOHNSON LAFAYETTE PETTIS SALINE * SITE TOTAL *	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	24 10 10 9 22 7 <b>82</b>	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 1 0 <b>1</b>	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	24 10 10 10 23 7 84
SITE 934	CARROLL CLAY PLATTE RAY * SITE TOTAL *	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 <b>0</b>	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 <b>0</b>	1 17 8 4 <b>30</b>	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 <b>0</b>	0 0 0 0 <b>0</b>	0 0 0 0 <b>0</b>	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 <b>0</b>	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	1 17 8 4 <b>30</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER CAPE GIRARDEAU MADISON MISSISSIPPI PERRY ST FRANCOIS STE GENEVIEVE SCOTT WASHINGTON * SITE TOTAL *	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 39 8 2 11 34 6 8 8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 4 2 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 6 16 3 0 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 39 9 2 17 54 11 8 12
SITE 936	BUTLER DUNKLIN NEW MADRID PEMISCOT RIPLEY STODDARD * SITE TOTAL *	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	21 9 6 10 7 13 <b>66</b>	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	22 9 6 10 7 13 <b>67</b>
STATE TO	ΓAL	1	36	0	4	1,762	0	35	11	0	13	0	56	0	0	1,918

Table 5. Families and Children Accepted by Month During FY 2014

	FARAILIFC	AT DICK CHILIDDEN	NOT AT-RISK	TOTAL CHILDDEN
	FAMILIES	AT-RISK CHILDREN	CHILDREN	TOTAL CHILDREN
July 2013	150	319	61	380
August 2013	172	371	82	453
September 2013	164	348	83	431
October 2013	176	436	59	495
November 2013	156	325	96	421
December 2013	143	284	91	375
January 2014	184	379	65	444
February 2014	139	303	61	364
March 2014	153	314	82	396
April 2014	185	394	66	460
May 2014	153	335	75	410
June 2014	143	298	56	354
State Total	1,918	4,106	877	4,983

Table 6. Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2014

No Opening in IIS-Child at Home	746	23.84%
Child`s Safety Cannot be Assured	685	21.89%
No Immediate Likelihood of Placement	429	13.71%
Caretaker Unwilling to Participate	347	11.08%
Missing	268	8.56%
Primary Caretaker Unavailable	159	5.08%
Unable to Contact Family	128	4.09%
Caretaker Unable to Participate	125	3.99%
No Opening in IIS-Child will be Placed	86	2.74%
Court Not in Agreement	71	2.26%
Referral Source Did Not Follow Through	69	2.20%
Child Unwilling to Participate	7	0.22%
Child is Physically Assaultive	6	0.19%
Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured	2	0.06%
Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement	1	0.03%
State Total	3,129	100.00%

Of the 1,918 families accepted into IIS during FY 2014, 1,859 families had a total of 2,566 contacts with an agency prior to their IIS intervention.

Table 7. Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention During FY 2014

Dept of Social Services Children's Division	1,787	69.64%
Adult Law Enforcement	271	10.56%
Juvenile Court	215	8.37%
Adult Criminal Court	127	4.94%
Dept of Mental Health	114	4.44%
Dept of Social Services Division of Youth Services	52	2.02%
Total Prior Contacts	2,566	100.00%

Table 8. Duration of IIS Intervention by Site for Families Accepted During FY 2014

			To	tal Wee	eks of In	tervent	ion			Average	
	<1		2	3	4	5	6	7	8+	Weeks of	SITE
	Wk	1 Wk	Wks	Wks	Wks	Wks	Wks	Wks	Wks	Intervention	TOTAL
Site 731	3	9	8	16	39	39	41	3	10	4.74	168
Site 732	17	32	26	19	40	41	198	17	25	5.41	415
Site 733	5	6	10	7	4	16	51	5	11	5.86	115
Site 734	2	6	3	4	7	7	17	0	0	4.11	46
Site 735	1	3	3	6	9	13	20	4	4	4.89	63
Site 736	3	9	4	8	7	16	39	8	12	5.71	106
Site 737	2	4	4	4	6	17	49	7	5	5.27	98
Site 738	1	8	11	6	13	44	41	1	2	4.74	127
Site 739	2	10	6	4	14	15	67	2	5	5.08	125
Site 740	0	2	0	1	1	10	18	1	2	5.43	35
Site 831	1	3	0	5	5	8	38	3	5	6.34	68
Site 931	1	2	2	2	7	6	27	7	6	6.92	60
Site 932	10	6	5	6	10	17	87	3	4	5.30	148
Site 933	3	4	2	13	3	13	29	9	8	5.25	84
Site 934	0	2	2	4	7	8	5	1	1	4.37	30
Site 935	7	7	8	10	26	62	41	0	2	4.77	163
Site 936	3	4	1	2	1	10	25	10	11	6.76	67
State Total	61	117	95	117	199	342	793	81	113	5.32	1,918

Average duration of FY 2014 IIS Interventions is 5.32 weeks.

Table 9. Families Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Exit Status During FY 2014

					LY NOT			FAMILIES
SITE	COUNTY		Y INTACT		ГАСТ		HER	EXITING
SITE 731	JACKSON * SITE TOTAL *	128 <b>128</b>	75.7% <b>75.7%</b>	38 <b>38</b>	22.5% <b>22.5%</b>	3 <b>3</b>	1.8% <b>1.8%</b>	169 <b>169</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	201	87.4%	22	9.6%	7	3.0%	230
	ST LOUIS CITY  * SITE TOTAL *	146 <b>347</b>	78.5% <b>83.4%</b>	27 <b>49</b>	14.5% <b>11.8%</b>	13 <b>20</b>	7.0% <b>4.8%</b>	186 <b>416</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	16	59.3%	10	37.0%	1	3.7%	27
	CALLAWAY COLE	14 16	73.7% 50.0%	5 16	26.3% 50.0%	0	.0% .0%	19 32
	HOWARD	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	RANDOLPH	21	61.8%	13	38.2%	0	.0%	34
	* SITE TOTAL *	70	60.9%	44	38.3%	1	.9%	115
SITE 734	ANDREW ATCHISON	2 3	100.0% 100.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	2
	BUCHANAN	10	58.8%	7	41.2%	Ö	.0%	17
	CALDWELL	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLINTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	DAVIESS DE KALB	3 2	100.0% 100.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	3 2
	GENTRY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HOLT	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	WORTH * SITE TOTAL *	1	100.0%	0 <b>9</b>	.0%	0 <b>0</b>	.0%	1 <b>46</b>
		37	80.4%		19.6%		.0%	
SITE 735	CARTER CRAWFORD	0	.0% 100.0%	1 0	100.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	1
	DENT	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	HOWELL	3	75.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	IRON	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	3
	OREGON	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS PULASKI	10 2	58.8% 28.6%	7	41.2% 42.9%	0 2	.0% 28.6%	17 7
	REYNOLDS	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	SHANNON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	TEXAS	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	WAYNE * SITE TOTAL *	6 <b>41</b>	66.7% <b>66.1%</b>	3 <b>17</b>	33.3% <b>27.4%</b>	0 <b>4</b>	.0% <b>6.5%</b>	9 <b>62</b>
SITE 736	BATES	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	BENTON	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAMDEN	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	0	.0%	10
	DALLAS HENRY	6 7	75.0% 87.5%	1 1	12.5% 12.5%	1 0	12.5% .0%	8 8
	LACLEDE	19	76.0%	6	24.0%	0	.0%	25
	MILLER	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	MONITEAU	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MORGAN POLK	7 12	87.5% 100.0%	1 0	12.5% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	8 12
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	3	20.0%	11	73.3%	1	6.7%	15
	* SITE TOTAL *	71	68.9%	30	29.1%	2	1.9%	103
SITE 737	BARTON CEDAR	5 9	83.3% 100.0%	1 0	16.7% .0%	0	.0% .0%	6 9
	DADE	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	JASPER	27	73.0%	9	24.3%	1	2.7%	37
	MCDONALD	9	64.3%	5	35.7%	0	.0%	14
	NEWTON	23	85.2%	4	14.8%	0	.0%	27
	VERNON * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>77</b>	.0% <b>76.2%</b>	3 <b>23</b>	100.0% <b>22.8%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>1.0%</b>	3 <b>101</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	9	90.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	10
	CHRISTIAN	9	60.0%	6	40.0%	0	.0%	15
	DOUGLAS	9	64.3% 76.5%	5 3	35.7% 17.6%	0	.0%	14 17
	LAWRENCE OZARK	13 2	100.0%	0	17.6% .0%	1 0	5.9% .0%	17 2
	STONE	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	Ö	.0%	13
	TANEY	24	75.0%	8	25.0%	0	.0%	32
	WRIGHT	15	93.8%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	16
	* SITE TOTAL *	90	75.6%	27	22.7%	2	1.7%	119

Table 9. Families Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Exit Status During FY 2014

				FAMI	LY NOT			FAMILIES
SITE	COUNTY	FAMIL	Y INTACT	INT	ГАСТ	01	THER	EXITING
SITE 739	GREENE	95	74.2%	29	22.7%	4	3.1%	128
	* SITE TOTAL *	95	74.2%	29	22.7%	4	3.1%	128
SITE 740	CHARITON	4	80.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	5
	GRUNDY	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	HARRISON	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	LINN	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	13
	MERCER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	SULLIVAN * SITE TOTAL *	2	100.0%	0 <b>3</b>	.0%	0 <b>1</b>	.0%	2 <b>34</b>
	SHE IOIAL	30	88.2%	3	8.8%	1	2.9%	34
<b>SITE 831</b>	LINCOLN	7	87.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	MARION	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	MONROE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PIKE	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	10
	RALLS	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	ST CHARLES * SITE TOTAL *	34 <b>56</b>	85.0% <b>84.8%</b>	4 <b>7</b>	10.0% <b>10.6%</b>	2 <b>3</b>	5.0% <b>4.5%</b>	40 <b>66</b>
	JIL TOTAL	30	04.070	,	10.076	3	4.3/0	00
<b>SITE 931</b>	ADAIR	8	50.0%	8	50.0%	0	.0%	16
	CLARK	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	5
	LEWIS	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	MACON	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10
	SCHUYLER SCOTLAND	5 3	100.0% 100.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	5 3
	SHELBY	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	35	68.6%	16	31.4%	0	.0%	51
			00.070		02.170	•		<u></u>
<b>SITE 932</b>	AUDRAIN	12	66.7%	6	33.3%	0	.0%	18
	FRANKLIN	16	72.7%	3	13.6%	3	13.6%	22
	GASCONADE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	JEFFERSON	47	75.8%	13	21.0%	2	3.2%	62
	MONTGOMERY	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	8
	OSAGE WARREN	1 19	100.0% 82.6%	0	.0% 13.0%	0 1	.0% 4.3%	1 23
	* SITE TOTAL *	109	<b>77.3%</b>	26	18.4%	6	4.3%	141
						_		
<b>SITE 933</b>	CASS	22	84.6%	4	15.4%	0	.0%	26
	COOPER	6	54.5%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	11
	JOHNSON LAFAYETTE	9	100.0% 66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	PETTIS	6 16	72.7%	3 5	33.3% 22.7%	1	.0% 4.5%	22
	SALINE	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	66	78.6%	17	20.2%	1	1.2%	84
				_		_		
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY PLATTE	13 7	81.3% 77.8%	3 2	18.8% 22.2%	0	.0% .0%	16 9
	RAY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	24	80.0%	6	20.0%	ŏ	.0%	30
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	0	.0%	12
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	25	69.4%	10	27.8%	1	2.8%	36
	MADISON	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	MISSISSIPPI PERRY	1 15	50.0% 78.9%	1 3	50.0% 15.8%	0 1	.0% 5.3%	2 19
	ST FRANCOIS	32	64.0%	16	32.0%	2	4.0%	50
	STE GENEVIEVE	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	12
	SCOTT	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	WASHINGTON	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	116	72.1%	41	25.5%	4	2.5%	161
SITE 936	BUTLER	17	73.9%	6	26.1%	0	.0%	23
311L 330	DUNKLIN	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	0	.0%	10
	NEW MADRID	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	0	.0%	6
	PEMISCOT	11	100.0%	ő	.0%	Ö	.0%	11
	RIPLEY	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	7
	STODDARD	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	53	75.7%	17	24.3%	0	.0%	70
STATE TO	ΓAL	1,445	76.2%	399	21.0%	52	2.7%	1,896

#### Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables

Tables are based upon families accepted or referred to Intensive In-Home Services during the fiscal year July 1, 2013 – June 30, 2014.

#### Table 1 Families Referred for IIS During FY 2014

- Includes families referred for Intensive In-Home Services with referral dates during the fiscal year by the status of the referrals at the time of this report. The decision date to accept or not accept a referral may fall outside of the fiscal year; especially when referrals are received towards the end of the fiscal year. For example, a referral made on June 30<sup>th</sup> may not be processed until the next fiscal year beginning on July 1<sup>st</sup>. Therefore, the total number of accepted family referrals may not equal the total number of accepted families with IIS open dates during the fiscal year as found in Table 3.
- Families are unduplicated on Table 1. If a family has more than one referral during the fiscal year, then a referral that was accepted is selected. If there are no accepted referrals for the family, then a referral that was not accepted is selected.

#### Table 2 Reasons At-Risk Children Not Accepted During FY 2014

- Reasons Not Accepted Codes
  - o 10 No Immediate Likelihood of Placement
  - o 11 No Opening in IIS Child At Home
  - o 12 No Opening in IIS Child will be Placed
  - 13 Primary Caretaker Unavailable
  - 14 Caretaker Unwilling to Participate
  - o 15 Child Unwilling to Participate
  - o 16 Unable to Contact Family
  - 17 Child's Safety Cannot be Assured
  - 18 Child is Physically Assaultive
  - 19 Therapist's Safety Cannot be Assured
  - o 20 Child Does Not Meet Age Requirement
  - o 21 Referral Source Did Not Follow Through
  - o 22 Court Not in Agreement
  - o 23 Caretaker Unable to Participate
  - o 24 Referral was Done in Error

#### Table 3 Families and Children Accepted into Intensive In-Home Services During FY 2014

- Each family is only counted once during the fiscal year. If a family is involved in multiple IIS interventions, the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.
- Total Families Accepted is an unduplicated count of families who have an IIS open date during FY 2014.
- *Total Children Accepted* includes the count of At-Risk and not At-Risk children from the accepted families.

#### Table 7 Family Contacts Prior to IIS Intervention for Families Accepted into IIS during FY 2014

Each family can have up to six prior contacts listed.

# Notes: IIS Families and Children Referred and Served Tables (continued)

#### Table 9 Families Exiting Intensive In-Home Services by Exit Status During FY 2014

- Family Intact includes Family Intact (10)
- Family Not Intact includes:
  - o Child Ran Away (12)
  - o Child Moved Out of Home (Not Placed) (13)
  - o Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Not Court Ordered) (14)
  - o Child Living with Relative or Guardian (Court Ordered) (15)
  - o In Foster Home or Group Home (16)
  - In Residential (17)
  - With Division of Youth Services (18)
  - o In Juvenile Justice (19)
  - o In In-Patient Psychiatric (20)
- Other includes missing data and the following:
  - Can't Locate Family (11)
  - o Information Not Available (21)

# **Heads of Household Served**

SITE 731 SITE 732	JACKSON * SITE TOTAL *	9	= <b>19</b> 5.4%		0-29	3	0-39	40	0-49	50	)-59	6	0-69	>:	= 70	TOTAL
		9	F 40/													
SITE 732		9	5.4% <b>5.4%</b>	58 <b>58</b>	34.5% <b>34.5%</b>	67 <b>67</b>	39.9% <b>39.9%</b>	24 <b>24</b>	14.3% <b>14.3%</b>	5 <b>5</b>	3.0% <b>3.0%</b>	4 <b>4</b>	2.4% <b>2.4%</b>	1 <b>1</b>	.6% <b>.6%</b>	168 <b>168</b>
	ST LOUIS COUNTY	5	2.2%	57	24.9%	91	39.7%	54	23.6%	17	7.4%	2	.9%	3	1.3%	229
	ST LOUIS CITY * SITE TOTAL *	7 <b>12</b>	3.8% <b>2.9%</b>	82 <b>139</b>	44.1% <b>33.5%</b>	62 <b>153</b>	33.3% <b>36.9%</b>	19 <b>73</b>	10.2% <b>17.6%</b>	12 <b>29</b>	6.5% <b>7.0%</b>	3 <b>5</b>	1.6% <b>1.2%</b>	1 <b>4</b>	.5% <b>1.0%</b>	186 <b>415</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	2	7.4%	7	25.9%	15	55.6%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
3112 733	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	9	47.4%	10	52.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	COLE	1	2.9%	8	23.5%	16	47.1%	5	14.7%	3	8.8%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	34
	HOWARD	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	RANDOLPH * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>3</b>	.0% <b>2.6%</b>	11 <b>36</b>	35.5% <b>31.3%</b>	14 <b>56</b>	45.2% <b>48.7%</b>	2 <b>9</b>	6.5% <b>7.8%</b>	4 <b>9</b>	12.9% <b>7.8%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>.9%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>.9%</b>	31 <b>115</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	1	6.3%	6	37.5%	8	50.0%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	CALDWELL CLINTON	0	.0% .0%	1	50.0% .0%	1 2	50.0% 50.0%	0	.0% .0%	0 2	.0% 50.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	2 4
	DAVIESS	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	Ö	.0%	Ō	.0%	Ō	.0%	2
	GENTRY	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HOLT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	0	.0%	4	50.0%	3	37.5%		12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	WORTH * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>3</b>	.0% <b>6.5%</b>	0 <b>15</b>	.0% <b>32.6%</b>	0 <b>20</b>	.0% <b>43.5%</b>	1 6	100.0% <b>13.0%</b>	0 <b>2</b>	.0% <b>4.3%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	1 <b>46</b>
CITE 725																
SITE 735	CARTER CRAWFORD	0 1	.0% 25.0%	0 1	.0% 25.0%	0 2	.0% 50.0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0%	0	.0% .0%	1 4
	DENT	0	.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	HOWELL	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	Ö	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	4
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	OREGON	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	PHELPS	0	.0%	8	44.4%	9	50.0%	0	.0%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	PULASKI REYNOLDS	1	11.1% .0%	3 1	33.3% 33.3%	3 1	33.3% 33.3%	1 1	11.1% 33.3%	1 0	11.1% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	9
	SHANNON	0	.0%	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	TEXAS	0	.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	4	66.7%	Ö	.0%	Ō	.0%	Ō	.0%	6
	WAYNE * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>3</b>	.0% <b>4.8%</b>	2 <b>23</b>	33.3% <b>36.5%</b>	2 <b>24</b>	33.3% <b>38.1%</b>	2 <b>9</b>	33.3% <b>14.3%</b>	0 <b>2</b>	.0% <b>3.2%</b>	0 <b>2</b>	.0% <b>3.2%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	6 <b>63</b>
CITE 726																
SITE 736	BATES BENTON	0	.0% .0%	2 3	50.0% 75.0%	0	.0% .0%	2 1	50.0% 25.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	4
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	2	16.7%	5	41.7%	4	33.3%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	DALLAS	Ö	.0%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	Ö	.0%	1	12.5%	8
	HENRY	2	33.3%	0	.0%	3	50.0%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LACLEDE	2	7.7%	7	26.9%	10	38.5%	5	19.2%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	26
	MILLER	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0 2	.0%	0 0	.0%	0 1	.0%	0	.0%	4 5
	MONITEAU MORGAN	0	.0% .0%	0 1	.0% 12.5%	2 7	40.0% 87.5%	0	40.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	20.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	8
	POLK	0	.0%	3	27.3%	5	45.5%	3	27.3%	Ö	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER * SITE TOTAL *	1 <b>5</b>	5.9% <b>4.7%</b>	5 <b>28</b>	29.4% <b>26.4%</b>	4 <b>41</b>	23.5% <b>38.7%</b>	6 <b>25</b>	35.3% <b>23.6%</b>	0 <b>3</b>	.0% <b>2.8%</b>	1 <b>3</b>	5.9% <b>2.8%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>.9%</b>	17 <b>106</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%		50.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%		25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
3112 /3/	CEDAR	0	.0%	2 5	55.6%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	1 0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4 9
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	Ö	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	JASPER	2	5.6%	7	19.4%	17	47.2%	5	13.9%	5	13.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	3	23.1%	5	38.5%	3	23.1%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	NEWTON	0	.0%	11	40.7%	11	40.7%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	27
	VERNON * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>2</b>	.0% <b>2.0%</b>	1 <b>29</b>	25.0% <b>29.6%</b>	0 <b>39</b>	.0% <b>39.8%</b>	1 <b>15</b>	25.0% <b>15.3%</b>	1 <b>10</b>	25.0% <b>10.2%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>1.0%</b>	1 <b>2</b>	25.0% <b>2.0%</b>	4 <b>98</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	4	36.4%	6	54.5%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CHRISTIAN	1	6.7%	4	26.7%	7	46.7%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	2	13.3%	15
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	5	31.3%	8	50.0%	3	18.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	5	31.3%	8	50.0%	2	12.5%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	OZARK STONE	0	.0% .0%	1 8	50.0% 57.1%	1 4	50.0% 28.6%	0 1	.0% 7.1%	0 1	.0% 7.1%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	2 14
	TANEY	0	.0%	13	37.1%	12	34.3%	6	17.1%	3	8.6%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	35
	WRIGHT	1	5.6%	6	33.3%	6	33.3%	5	27.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	18
		2	1.6%		36.2%	52			14.2%							

Table 1	10. Head of Hoι	ısehold	Age f	or Fa	milies	Acc	epted	Duri	ng FY	2014	,					
SITE	COUNTY	<	= 19	2	0-29	3	0-39	40	0-49	5	0-59	6	0-69	>=	<del>-</del> 70	TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE * SITE TOTAL *	7 <b>7</b>	5.6% <b>5.6%</b>	63 <b>63</b>	50.4% <b>50.4%</b>	39 <b>39</b>	31.2% <b>31.2%</b>	11 <b>11</b>	8.8% <b>8.8%</b>	2 <b>2</b>	1.6% <b>1.6%</b>	1 <b>1</b>	.8% <b>.8%</b>	2 <b>2</b>	1.6% <b>1.6%</b>	125 <b>125</b>
<b>SITE 740</b>	CHARITON	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	4	44.4%	5	55.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	HARRISON LINN	0	.0% .0%	3 2	75.0% 14.3%	1 6	25.0% 42.9%	0	.0% 21.4%	0 2	.0% 14.3%	0	.0% .0%	0 1	.0% 7.1%	4 14
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	Ö	.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	11	31.4%	16	45.7%	4	11.4%	3	8.6%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	35
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MARION MONROE	0	.0% .0%	4	57.1% 100.0%	3	42.9% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	7 2
	PIKE	0	.0%	4	36.4%	5	45.5%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	RALLS	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	ST CHARLES	0	.0%	8	21.1%	13	34.2%	9	23.7%	6	15.8%	2	5.3%	0	.0%	38
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.5%	21	30.9%	24	35.3%	13	19.1%	7	10.3%	2	2.9%	0	.0%	68
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	7	36.8%	5	26.3%	5	26.3%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	CLARK	0	.0%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	1 5	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	LEWIS MACON	1	.0% 9.1%	0 2	.0% 18.2%	5 5	50.0% 45.5%	1	50.0% 9.1%	0 1	.0% 9.1%	0 1	.0% 9.1%	0	.0% .0%	10 11
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	Ō	.0%	1	20.0%	Ö	.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SHELBY	0	.0%	2	40.0%	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.7%	15	25.0%	23	38.3%	16	26.7%	3	5.0%	2	3.3%	0	.0%	60
<b>SITE 932</b>	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	8	40.0%	9	45.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	10	41.7%	13	54.2%	1	4.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	GASCONADE JEFFERSON	0	.0% .0%	1 14	14.3% 21.5%	3 32	42.9% 49.2%	1 17	14.3% 26.2%	2	28.6% 3.1%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	7 65
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	4	50.0%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WARREN	0	.0%	6	26.1%	11		4	17.4%	1	4.3%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	43	29.1%	72	48.6%	25	16.9%	7	4.7%	1	.7%	0	.0%	148
<b>SITE 933</b>	CASS	1	4.2%	7	29.2%	9	37.5%	5	20.8%	2	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	60.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	JOHNSON LAFAYETTE	0	.0% .0%	4	40.0% 30.0%	4 2	40.0% 20.0%	2	20.0% 20.0%	0 1	.0% 10.0%	0 2	.0% 20.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	10 10
	PETTIS	0	.0%	9	39.1%	9	39.1%	4	17.4%	1	4.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	23
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	57.1%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	Ō	.0%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.2%	23	27.4%	34	40.5%	17	20.2%	7	8.3%	2	2.4%	0	.0%	84
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY PLATTE	0	.0% .0%	5 3	29.4% 37.5%	8 2	47.1% 25.0%	3	17.6% 37.5%	1	5.9% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	17 8
	RAY	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	Ö	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	3.3%	8	26.7%	11	36.7%	8	26.7%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	30
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	0	.0%	4	36.4%	5	45.5%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU MADISON	1	2.6% .0%	11 4	28.2% 44.4%	18 4	46.2% 44.4%	4	10.3%	3	7.7% .0%	2	5.1% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	39
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1		1 0	11.1% .0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9 2
	PERRY	0	.0%	3	17.6%	10	58.8%	3	17.6%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	Ő	.0%	17
	ST FRANCOIS	1	1.9%	12	22.2%	23	42.6%	12	22.2%	6	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	54
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	4	36.4%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	SCOTT WASHINGTON	1 0	12.5% .0%	6 5	75.0% 41.7%	0 6	.0% 50.0%	0 1	.0% 8.3%	1	12.5% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	8 12
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	2.5%	<b>47</b>	28.8%	<b>71</b>		24	14.7%	13	8.0%	4	2.5%	0	.0%	163
SITE 936	BUTLER	2	9.1%	6	27.3%	7		4	18.2%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	22
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	6	66.7%	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2		2	33.3%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	PEMISCOT RIPLEY	0 1	.0% 14.3%	4 5	40.0% 71.4%	4 0	40.0% .0%	1 0	10.0% .0%	1 1	10.0% 14.3%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	10 7
	STODDARD	1	7.7%	5	38.5%	4	30.8%	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	6.0%	27	40.3%	19	28.4%	9	13.4%	7	10.4%	1	1.5%	0	.0%	67
STATE TO	TAL	58	3.0%	632	33.0%	761	39.7%	306	16.0%	116	6.0%	31	1.6%	14	.7%	1,918

Table 11. Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2014

SITE	COUNTY		MALE		ALE	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON * SITE TOTAL *	152 <b>152</b>	90.5% <b>90.5%</b>	16 <b>16</b>	9.5% <b>9.5%</b>	168 <b>168</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	193	84.3%	36	15.7%	229
	ST LOUIS CITY	169	90.9%	17	9.1%	186
	* SITE TOTAL *	362	87.2%	53	12.8%	415
SITE 733	BOONE	25	92.6%	2	7.4%	27
	CALLAWAY COLE	15 30	78.9% 88.2%	4	21.1% 11.8%	19 34
	HOWARD	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	RANDOLPH	27	87.1%	4	12.9%	31
	* SITE TOTAL *	100	87.0%	15	13.0%	115
SITE 734	ANDREW	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	ATCHISON BUCHANAN	3 13	100.0% 81.3%	0	.0% 18.8%	3 16
	CALDWELL	13	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	CLINTON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	DAVIESS	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	DE KALB	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	GENTRY	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	HOLT NODAWAY	0 5	.0% 62.5%	1	100.0% 37.5%	1 8
	WORTH	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	35	76.1%	11	23.9%	46
SITE 735	CARTER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	DENT HOWELL	4 3	80.0% 75.0%	1	20.0% 25.0%	5 4
	IRON	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
	OREGON	1	100.0%	Ő	.0%	1
	PHELPS	15	83.3%	3	16.7%	18
	PULASKI	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	9
	REYNOLDS	3 2	100.0% 66.7%	0	.0%	3 3
	SHANNON TEXAS	5	83.3%	1	33.3% 16.7%	6
	WAYNE	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	53	84.1%	10	15.9%	63
SITE 736	BATES	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	BENTON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	CAMDEN DALLAS	8 7	66.7% 87.5%	4 1	33.3% 12.5%	12 8
	HENRY	5	83.3%	1	16.7%	6
	LACLEDE	21	80.8%	5	19.2%	26
	MILLER	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	MONITEAU	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	MORGAN POLK	6 11	75.0% 100.0%	2	25.0% .0%	8 11
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	14	82.4%	3	17.6%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	87	82.1%	19	17.9%	106
SITE 737	BARTON	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	CEDAR DADE	8 4	88.9% 80.0%	1	11.1% 20.0%	9 5
	JASPER	30	83.3%	6	16.7%	36
	MCDONALD	12	92.3%	1	7.7%	13
	NEWTON	22	81.5%	5	18.5%	27
	VERNON	3	75.0%	1	25.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	81	82.7%	17	17.3%	98
SITE 738	BARRY CHRISTIAN	9 13	81.8% 86.7%	2 2	18.2% 13.3%	11 15
	DOUGLAS	10	62.5%	6	37.5%	16
	LAWRENCE	14	87.5%	2	12.5%	16
	OZARK	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	STONE	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	14
	TANEY WRIGHT	27 11	77.1% 61.1%	8 7	22.9% 38.9%	35 18
	* SITE TOTAL *	98	77.2%	29	22.8%	18 127
	3.1E 1917.E	38	/0	25		12/

Table 11. Head of Household Gender for Families Accepted During FY 2014

SITE	COUNTY	FEI	MALE	М	ALE	TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	105	84.0%	20	16.0%	125
	* SITE TOTAL *	105	84.0%	20	16.0%	125
SITE 740	CHARITON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	GRUNDY	9	100.0%	0	.0%	9
	HARRISON	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	LINN	12	85.7%	2	14.3%	14
	MERCER	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	SULLIVAN	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	32	91.4%	3	8.6%	35
SITE 831	LINCOLN	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	MARION	7	100.0%	0	.0%	7
	MONROE	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	PIKE	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	11
	RALLS	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	ST CHARLES	23	60.5%	15	39.5%	38
	* SITE TOTAL *	47	69.1%	21	30.9%	68
SITE 931	ADAIR	14	73.7%	5	26.3%	19
	CLARK	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	LEWIS	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	MACON	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	11
	SCHUYLER	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	SHELBY	5	100.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	49	81.7%	11	18.3%	60
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	14	70.0%	6	30.0%	20
	FRANKLIN	22	91.7%	2	8.3%	24
	GASCONADE	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
	JEFFERSON	49	75.4%	16	24.6%	65
	MONTGOMERY	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	OSAGE	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	WARREN	20	87.0%	3	13.0%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	118	79.7%	30	20.3%	148
SITE 933	CASS	17	70.8%	7	29.2%	24
	COOPER	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	JOHNSON	9	90.0%	1	10.0%	10
	LAFAYETTE	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	PETTIS	22	95.7%	1	4.3%	23
	SALINE	4	57.1%	3	42.9%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	68	81.0%	16	19.0%	84
SITE 934	CARROLL	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	14	82.4%	3	17.6%	17
	PLATTE	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	8
	RAY	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	26	86.7%	4	13.3%	30
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	11
3 303	CAPE GIRARDEAU	33	84.6%	6	15.4%	39
	MADISON	7	77.8%	2	22.2%	9
	MISSISSIPPI	2	100.0%	0	.0%	2
	PERRY	10	58.8%	7	41.2%	17
	ST FRANCOIS	44	81.5%	10	18.5%	54
	STE GENEVIEVE	8	72.7%	3	27.3%	11
	SCOTT	6	75.0%	2	25.0%	8
	WASHINGTON	12	100.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	131	80.4%	32	19.6%	163
SITE 936	BUTLER	21	95.5%	1	4.5%	22
	DUNKLIN	8	88.9%	1	11.1%	9
	NEW MADRID	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	PEMISCOT	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	10
	RIPLEY	6	85.7%	1	14.3%	7
	STODDARD	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	58	86.6%	9	13.4%	67
STATE TO	TAL	1,602	83.5%	316	16.5%	1,918
3		1,502		510		2,510

Table 12. Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2014

				•	J			UNABLE TO
SITE	COUNTY	WHITE	AFRICAN AM	NATIVE AM	ASIAN	NAT HI PACI	MULTI-RACIAL	
SITE 731	JACKSON * SITE TOTAL *	100 59.5% <b>100 59.5%</b>	60 35.7% <b>60 35.7%</b>	0 .0% <b>0 .0%</b>	0 .0% <b>0 .0%</b>	0 .0% <b>0 .0%</b>	1 .6% <b>1 .6%</b>	7 4.2% 1 7 4.2% 1
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY ST LOUIS CITY	81 35.4% 34 18.3%	135 59.0% 145 78.0%	1 .4% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	12 5.2% 2: 7 3.8% 1:
SITE 733	* SITE TOTAL *  BOONE CALLAWAY COLE HOWARD RANDOLPH	115 27.7%  20 74.1%  16 84.2%  24 70.6%  3 75.0%  29 93.5%	280 67.5%  6 22.2% 2 10.5% 9 26.5% 0 .0% 0 .0%	1 .2%  0 .0%  0 .0%  0 .0%  0 .0%  0 .0%  0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 1 5.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	19 4.6% 4 1 3.7% 0 .0% 1 2.9% 1 25.0% 2 6.5%
	* SITE TOTAL *	92 80.0%	17 14.8%	0 .0%	1 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 4.3% 1
SITE 734	ANDREW ATCHISON BUCHANAN CALDWELL CLINTON DAVIESS DE KALB GENTRY HOLT NODAWAY WORTH * SITE TOTAL *	2 100.0% 3 100.0% 12 75.0% 2 100.0% 4 100.0% 3 100.0% 2 100.0% 4 100.0% 1 100.0% 7 87.5% 1 100.0% 41 89.1%	0 .0% 0 .0% 4 25.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 4 8.7%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 12.5% 0 .0% 1 2.2%
SITE 735	CARTER CRAWFORD DENT HOWELL IRON OREGON PHELPS PULASKI REYNOLDS SHANNON TEXAS WAYNE * SITE TOTAL *	1 100.0% 4 100.0% 5 100.0% 4 100.0% 3 100.0% 1 100.0% 5 55.6% 3 100.0% 6 100.0% 6 100.0% 58 92.1%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 5.6% 1 11.1% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 2 3.2%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 11.1% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 .0% 1 11.6%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 2 .22.2% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 2 .0% 0 .0% 2 .0% 0 .0% 2 .0%
SITE 736	BATES BENTON CAMDEN DALLAS HENRY LACLEDE MILLER MONITEAU MORGAN POLK ST CLAIR WEBSTER * SITE TOTAL *	4 100.0% 4 100.0% 9 75.0% 8 100.0% 6 100.0% 26 100.0% 3 75.0% 4 80.0% 7 87.5% 10 90.9% 1 100.0% 17 100.0% 99 93.4%	0 .0% 0 .0% 1 8.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 20.0% 1 20.0% 1 9.1% 0 .0% 3 2.8%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 2 16.7% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 .0% 1 25.0% 0 .0% 1 12.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 4 3.8% 1
SITE 737	BARTON CEDAR DADE JASPER MCDONALD NEWTON VERNON * SITE TOTAL *	4 100.0% 8 88.9% 5 100.0% 30 83.3% 11 84.6% 25 92.6% 3 75.0% 86 87.8%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 2.8% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 1.0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 3.7% 0 .0% 1 1.0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 4 11.1% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 4 4.1%	0 .0% 1 11.1% 0 .0% 1 2.8% 2 15.4% 1 3.7% 1 25.0% 6 6.1%
SITE 738	BARRY CHRISTIAN DOUGLAS LAWRENCE OZARK STONE TANEY WRIGHT * SITE TOTAL *	11 100.0% 13 86.7% 15 93.8% 15 93.8% 2 100.0% 14 100.0% 34 97.1% 18 100.0% 122 96.1%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 6.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 .8%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 2 13.3% 1 6.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 2.9% 0 .0% 4 3.2% 1

Table 12. Head of Household Race for Families Accepted During FY 2014

						•								UNAB	LE TO	
SITE	COUNTY	V	VHITE		ICAN AM		VE AM		IAN	NAT	HI PACI	MULT	I-RACIAL	DETER		TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE * SITE TOTAL *	114 <b>114</b>	91.2% <b>91.2%</b>	6 <b>6</b>	4.8% <b>4.8%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	1 <b>1</b>	.8% <b>.8%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	4 <b>4</b>	3.2% <b>3.2%</b>	125 <b>125</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON GRUNDY HARRISON LINN MERCER SULLIVAN * SITE TOTAL *	9 4 14 1 3	100.0% 100.0% 100.0%	0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	4 9 4 14 1 3 <b>35</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN MARION MONROE PIKE RALLS ST CHARLES * SITE TOTAL *	8 5 2 10 2 33 <b>60</b>	71.4% 100.0% 90.9%	0 2 0 1 0 3 6	.0% 28.6% .0% 9.1% .0% 7.9% <b>8.8%</b>	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 2 <b>2</b>	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% 5.3% <b>2.9%</b>	8 7 2 11 2 38 <b>68</b>
SITE 931	ADAIR CLARK LEWIS MACON SCHUYLER SCOTLAND SHELBY * SITE TOTAL *	18 5 10 11 5 4 5	100.0% 100.0%	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	5.3% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% 16.7% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	19 6 10 11 5 4 5
SITE 932	AUDRAIN FRANKLIN GASCONADE JEFFERSON MONTGOMERY OSAGE WARREN * SITE TOTAL *	19 21 7 60 8 1 17 <b>133</b>	95.0% 87.5% 100.0% 92.3% 100.0% 100.0% 73.9% 89.9%	1 2 0 0 0 0 5 8	5.0% 8.3% .0% .0% .0% .0% 21.7% 5.4%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 1 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% 1.5% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 1 0 4 0 0 1 <b>6</b>	.0% 4.2% .0% 6.2% .0% .0% 4.3% 4.1%	20 24 7 65 8 1 23 148
SITE 933	CASS COOPER JOHNSON LAFAYETTE PETTIS SALINE * SITE TOTAL *	21 7 9 9 19 6 <b>71</b>	87.5% 70.0% 90.0% 90.0% 82.6% 85.7% 84.5%	0 2 0 1 1 1 5	.0% 20.0% .0% 10.0% 4.3% 14.3% <b>6.0%</b>	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	1 1 0	12.5% 10.0% 10.0% .0% 13.0% .0% <b>9.5%</b>	24 10 10 10 23 7 84
SITE 934	CARROLL CLAY PLATTE RAY * SITE TOTAL *	1 17 6 4 <b>28</b>	100.0% 100.0% 75.0% 100.0% <b>93.3%</b>	0 0 1 0 <b>1</b>	.0% .0% 12.5% .0% <b>3.3%</b>	0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 <b>0</b>	.0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 <b>0</b>	.0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 1 0 <b>1</b>	.0% .0% 12.5% .0% <b>3.3%</b>	1 17 8 4 <b>30</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER CAPE GIRARDEAU MADISON MISSISSIPPI PERRY ST FRANCOIS STE GENEVIEVE SCOTT WASHINGTON * SITE TOTAL *	10 36 9 2 15 52 10 6 12	100.0% 100.0% 88.2% 96.3% 90.9% 75.0% 100.0%	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% 5.1% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0% 12.5% .0%	2 1	9.1% 2.6% .0% .0% 11.8% 3.7% 9.1% 12.5% .0% <b>4.9%</b>	11 39 9 2 17 54 11 8 12
SITE 936	BUTLER DUNKLIN NEW MADRID PEMISCOT RIPLEY STODDARD * SITE TOTAL *		90.9% 66.7% 66.7% 70.0% 100.0% 100.0% <b>85.1%</b>	2 3 0 0	9.1% 22.2% 33.3% 30.0% .0% .0% 13.4%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 0 0 0 0 0	.0% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1	.0% 11.1% .0% .0% .0% .0% .0%	22 9 6 10 7 13 <b>67</b>
STATE TO	TAL	1,421	74.1%	406	21.2%	2	.1%	2	.1%	2	.1%	6	.3%	79	4.1%	1,918

Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2014

			. ,				<u>.</u>				
							THAN		ORARY	STUDEN	
SITE	COUNTY		IPLOYED		L-TIME		L-TIME		YMENT	EMPLO	
SITE 731	JACKSON	29	17.3%	11	6.5%	3	1.8%	0	.0%	4	2.4%
	* SITE TOTAL *	29	17.3%	11	6.5%	3	1.8%	0	.0%	4	2.4%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	21	9.2%	27	11.8%	10	4.4%	1	.4%	1	.4%
	ST LOUIS CITY	18	9.7%	13	7.0%	2	1.1%	1	.5%	3	1.6%
	* SITE TOTAL *	39	9.4%	40	9.6%	12	2.9%	2	.5%	4	1.0%
		_				_					
SITE 733	BOONE CALLAWAY	7 3	25.9% 15.8%	0 1	.0% 5.3%	0 1	.0% 5.3%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%
	COLE	6	17.6%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	0	.0%
	HOWARD	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	5	16.1%	3	9.7%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	2	6.5%
	* SITE TOTAL *	21	18.3%	7	6.1%	3	2.6%	1	.9%	2	1.7%
	****		00/	•	00/		00/	•	00/		<b>50.00</b> /
SITE 734	ANDREW ATCHISON	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	1 0	50.0% .0%
	BUCHANAN	3	18.8%	3	18.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DAVIESS	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HOLT NODAWAY	1	100.0% 37.5%	0 1	.0% 12.5%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	19.6%	5	10.9%	Ŏ	.0%	Ŏ	.0%	1	2.2%
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DENT HOWELL	2	40.0%	0	.0% 25.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	1	25.0% .0%	1	.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	2	22.2%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%
	SHANNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS WAYNE	0	.0% .0%	2 1	33.3% 16.7%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	.0% <b>14.3%</b>	6	9.5%	2	.0% <b>3.2%</b>	0	.0% . <b>0%</b>	1	.0% <b>1.6%</b>
	3112 101712	•	141570	·	31370	_	3.270	•	.070	-	21070
<b>SITE 736</b>	BATES	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	3	25.0%	2	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DALLAS HENRY	4 4	50.0% 66.7%	0	.0% .0%	1 0	12.5% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 1	.0% 16.7%
	LACLEDE	4	15.4%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MORGAN	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	3	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	0	.0% 17.6%	0 2	.0%	0 2	.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER * SITE TOTAL *	23	21.7%	9	11.8% <b>8.5%</b>	5	11.8% <b>4.7%</b>	0	.0% . <b>0%</b>	1	.0% <b>.9%</b>
	J J.//L	-5	,,	,	2.373	,	,0	Ū	,5	-	.570
<b>SITE 737</b>	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	1	11.1%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	1	20.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	4	11.1%	5	13.9%	1	2.8%	0	.0%	2	5.6%
	MCDONALD NEWTON	2 1	15.4% 3.7%	1 2	7.7% 7.4%	0 2	.0% 7.4%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%
	VERNON	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	9	9.2%	10	10.2%	5	5.1%	Ō	.0%	2	2.0%
SITE 738	BARRY	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN	2	13.3%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DOUGLAS LAWRENCE	2 1	12.5% 6.3%	0	.0% .0%	1	6.3% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	4	28.6%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	3	8.6%	2	5.7%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WRIGHT	2	11.1%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	11.8%	6	4.7%	4	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2014

		HOMEMAKER NOT	DISABLED NOT IN				
SITE	COUNTY	IN LABOR FORCE	LABOR FORCE	OTHER	UNKNOWN	MISSING	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON * SITE TOTAL *	1 .6% <b>1 .6%</b>	14 8.3% <b>14 8.3%</b>	2 1.2% 2 1.2%	53 31.5% <b>53 31.5%</b>	51 30.4% <b>51 30.4%</b>	168 <b>168</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	3 1.3%	3 1.3%	1 .4%	34 14.8%	128 55.9%	229
	ST LOUIS CITY * SITE TOTAL *	0 .0% <b>3 .7%</b>	4 2.2% <b>7 1.7%</b>	0 .0% <b>1 .2%</b>	25 13.4% <b>59 14.2%</b>	120 64.5% <b>248 59.8%</b>	186 <b>415</b>
SITE 733	BOONE	1 3.7%	6 22.2%	0 .0%	3 11.1%	10 37.0%	27
	CALLAWAY COLE	0 .0% 1 2.9%	2 10.5% 5 14.7%	0 .0% 0 .0%	1 5.3% 1 2.9%	11 57.9% 18 52.9%	19 34
	HOWARD	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	4
	RANDOLPH * SITE TOTAL *	0 .0% <b>2 1.7%</b>	1 3.2% <b>14 12.2%</b>	0 .0% <b>0 .0%</b>	4 12.9% <b>10 8.7%</b>	15 48.4% <b>55 47.8%</b>	31 <b>115</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 50.0%	2
	ATCHISON	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	3
	BUCHANAN CALDWELL	0 .0% 0 .0%	1 6.3% 1 50.0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	9 56.3% 0 .0%	16 2
	CLINTON	0 .0%	1 25.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 50.0%	4
	DAVIESS	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 66.7%	3
	DE KALB	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 100.0%	2
	GENTRY	1 25.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 75.0%	4
	HOLT NODAWAY	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 1 12.5%	0 .0% 3 37.5%	1 8
	WORTH	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	1 2.2%	3 6.5%	1 2.2%	1 2.2%	25 54.3%	46
SITE 735	CARTER CRAWFORD	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	1 100.0% 2 50.0%	0 .0% 2 50.0%	1 4
	DENT	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 40.0%	5
	HOWELL	0 .0%	1 25.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 25.0%	4
	IRON	1 33.3%	2 66.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3
	OREGON PHELPS	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 2 11.1%	1 100.0% 11 61.1%	1 18
	PULASKI	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 22.2%	4 44.4%	9
	REYNOLDS	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 33.3%	3
	SHANNON	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 33.3%	2 66.7%	3
	TEXAS WAYNE	0 .0% 0 .0%	2 33.3% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	2 33.3% 5 83.3%	6 6
	* SITE TOTAL *	1 1.6%	5 7.9%	0 .0%	8 <b>12.7%</b>	31 49.2%	63
SITE 736	BATES	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 75.0%	4
	BENTON	1 25.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 50.0%	4
	CAMDEN DALLAS	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 1 12.5%	0 .0% 0 .0%	1 8.3% 0 .0%	6 50.0% 2 25.0%	12 8
	HENRY	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 16.7%	6
	LACLEDE	0 .0%	3 11.5%	1 3.8%	2 7.7%	14 53.8%	26
	MILLER	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 25.0%	1 25.0%	4
	MONITEAU	1 20.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	3 60.0%	5 8
	MORGAN POLK	0 .0% 1 9.1%	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 1 9.1%	6 75.0% 6 54.5%	8 11
	ST CLAIR	0 .0%	1 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1
	WEBSTER	0 .0%	1 5.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 52.9%	17
	* SITE TOTAL *	3 2.8%	6 5.7%	1 .9%	5 4.7%	53 50.0%	106
SITE 737	BARTON	0 .0%	1 25.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 75.0%	4
	CEDAR DADE	0 .0% 1 20.0%	1 11.1% 0 .0%	0 .0% 0 .0%	0 .0% 1 20.0%	6 66.7% 1 20.0%	9 5
	JASPER	0 .0%	3 8.3%	0 .0%	3 8.3%	18 50.0%	36
	MCDONALD	2 15.4%	1 7.7%	0 .0%	1 7.7%	6 46.2%	13
	NEWTON	0 .0%	2 7.4%	0 .0%	4 14.8%	16 59.3%	27
	VERNON * SITE TOTAL *	0 .0% <b>3 3.1%</b>	1 25.0% <b>9 9.2%</b>	0 .0% <b>0 .0%</b>	0 .0% <b>9 9.2%</b>	1 25.0% <b>51 52.0%</b>	4 <b>98</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	2 18.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 9.1%	5 45.5%	11
	CHRISTIAN	1 6.7%	2 13.3%	0 .0%	2 13.3%	7 46.7%	15
	DOUGLAS LAWRENCE	0 .0% 1 6.3%	0 .0% 1 6.3%	0 .0% 0 .0%	2 12.5% 5 31.3%	11 68.8% 8 50.0%	16 16
	OZARK	0 .0%	2 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2
	STONE	0 .0%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	2 14.3%	6 42.9%	14
	TANEY	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 11.4%	25 71.4%	35
	WRIGHT	0 .0%	4 22.2%	0 .0%	2 11.1%	8 44.4%	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	4 3.2%	10 7.9%	0 .0%	18 14.2%	70 55.1%	127

Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2014

							THAN		PORARY	STUDEN	
SITE	COUNTY		IPLOYED		L-TIME		L-TIME		DYMENT	EMPLO	
SITE 739	GREENE * SITE TOTAL *	18 <b>18</b>	14.4% <b>14.4%</b>	9 <b>9</b>	7.2% <b>7.2%</b>	3 <b>3</b>	2.4% <b>2.4%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GRUNDY	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	HARRISON	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LINN MERCER	2	14.3%	1	7.1% .0%	2	14.3% .0%	0	.0%	0 0	.0%
	SULLIVAN	0	.0% .0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	4	11.4%	4	11.4%	2	5.7%	Ŏ	.0%	ŏ	.0%
SITE 831	LINCOLN	2	25.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MARION	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PIKE RALLS	1	9.1% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%
	ST CHARLES	1	2.6%	6	.0% 15.8%	0	.0%	1	2.6%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	8.8%	6	8.8%	1	1.5%	1	1.5%	ŏ	.0%
SITE 931	ADAIR	1	5.3%	4	21.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLARK	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LEWIS	1	10.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0 2	.0% 50.0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0%	0 0	.0%
	SCOTLAND SHELBY	0	.0% .0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	5.0%	9	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	FRANKLIN	2	8.3%	0	.0%	1	4.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GASCONADE	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JEFFERSON MONTGOMERY	11 0	16.9% .0%	12	18.5% 12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0 0	.0%
	OSAGE	0	.0%	1	.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%
	WARREN	2	8.7%	2	8.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.3%
	* SITE TOTAL *	18	12.2%	17	11.5%	2	1.4%	Ö	.0%	1	.7%
SITE 933	CASS	7	29.2%	4	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	COOPER	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	3	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	LAFAYETTE PETTIS	0 5	.0% 21.7%	0 4	.0% 17.4%	1 1	10.0% 4.3%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.3% 14.3%	1	.0% 14.3%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	14.3%	12	14.3%	3	3.6%	1	1.2%	ŏ	.0%
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLAY	2	11.8%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PLATTE	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RAY * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>2</b>	.0% <b>6.7%</b>	0 <b>2</b>	.0% <b>6.7%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	5	12.8%	4	10.3%	2	5.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MADISON	1	11.1%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PERRY ST FRANCOIS	0	.0%	1	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.9%
	STE GENEVIEVE	8	14.8% .0%	5 1	9.3% 9.1%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%
	SCOTT	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WASHINGTON	1	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	19	11.7%	14	8.6%	2	1.2%	0	.0%	1	.6%
SITE 936	BUTLER	3	13.6%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DUNKLIN	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	NEW MADRID	0	.0% 30.0%	3	50.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%
	PEMISCOT RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.0% 14.3%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0%
	STODDARD	2	15.4%	2	.0% 15.4%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%
	* SITE TOTAL *	10	14.9%	6	9.0%	3	4.5%	Ŏ	.0%	1	1.5%
STATE TO	ΓAL	246	12.8%	173	9.0%	50	2.6%	5	.3%	18	.9%

Table 13. Head of Household Employment For Families Accepted During FY 2014

		HOMEMAKER	NOT DISABL	ED NOT IN							
SITE	COUNTY	IN LABOR FO	RCE LABO	R FORCE	0	THER	UNI	NOWN	М	ISSING	TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE * SITE TOTAL *		% 5 <b>% 5</b>	4.0% <b>4.0%</b>	1 1	.8% <b>.8%</b>	12 <b>12</b>	9.6% <b>9.6%</b>	77 <b>77</b>	61.6% <b>61.6%</b>	125 <b>125</b>
SITE 740	CHARITON	1 25.0	% 0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	GRUNDY		% 1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	77.8%	9
	HARRISON LINN		% 0 % 0	.0%	0	.0%	0 4	.0%	2	50.0%	4
	MERCER		% 0 % 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	28.6% .0%	5 1	35.7% 100.0%	14 1
	SULLIVAN		% 0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	1 2.9	% 1	2.9%	0	.0%	4	11.4%	19	54.3%	35
SITE 831	LINCOLN	1 12.5		.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	50.0%	8
	MARION		% 0 % 0	.0% .0%	0	.0%	0	.0% .0%	5	71.4%	7 2
	MONROE PIKE		% 2	.0% 18.2%	1	.0% 9.1%	1	9.1%	6	100.0% 54.5%	11
	RALLS		% 0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1	50.0%	2
	ST CHARLES	00	% 1	2.6%	0	.0%	2	5.3%	27	71.1%	38
	* SITE TOTAL *	1 1.5	% 3	4.4%	1	1.5%	4	5.9%	45	66.2%	68
<b>SITE 931</b>	ADAIR		% 3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11	57.9%	19
	CLARK		% 1 % 0	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0% .0%	4	66.7%	6
	LEWIS MACON		% 0 % 1	.0% 9.1%	0	.0% .0%	2	.0% 18.2%	6 8	60.0% 72.7%	10 11
	SCHUYLER	1 20.0		.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	3	60.0%	5
	SCOTLAND		% 0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	4
	SHELBY	2 40.0		.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	3 5.0	% 5	8.3%	0	.0%	5	8.3%	35	58.3%	60
<b>SITE 932</b>	AUDRAIN		% 0	.0%	0	.0%	4	20.0%	11	55.0%	20
	FRANKLIN		% 0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.2%	20	83.3%	24
	GASCONADE JEFFERSON		% 1 % 2	14.3% 3.1%	0 1	.0% 1.5%	0 5	.0% 7.7%	5 34	71.4% 52.3%	7 65
	MONTGOMERY		% 2 % 0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	6	75.0%	8
	OSAGE		% 0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	1
	WARREN	2 8.7	% 1	4.3%	0	.0%	2	8.7%	13	56.5%	23
	* SITE TOTAL *	2 1.4	% 4	2.7%	2	1.4%	12	8.1%	90	60.8%	148
SITE 933	CASS	1 4.2		.0%	0	.0%	1	4.2%	11	45.8%	24
	COOPER JOHNSON		% 2 % 0	20.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	2 1	20.0% 10.0%	5 6	50.0% 60.0%	10 10
	LAFAYETTE		% 0	.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	7	70.0%	10
	PETTIS	1 4.3	% 0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.3%	11	47.8%	23
	SALINE		% 0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	71.4%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	2 2.4	% 2	2.4%	1	1.2%	6	7.1%	45	53.6%	84
SITE 934	CARROLL CLAY		% 0 % 2	.0% 11.8%	0	.0% .0%	0 1	.0% 5.9%	1 11	100.0% 64.7%	1 17
	PLATTE	1 12.5		.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	75.0%	8
	RAY		% 0	.0%	1	25.0%	Ö	.0%	3	75.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	1 3.3	% 2	6.7%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	21	70.0%	30
SITE 935	BOLLINGER		% 1	9.1%	0	.0%	3	27.3%	4	36.4%	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU MADISON		% 1 % 0	2.6% .0%	0	.0% .0%	3 1	7.7% 11.1%	24 5	61.5% 55.6%	39 9
	MISSISSIPPI		% 0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	2
	PERRY		% 0	.0%	Ő	.0%	5	29.4%	10	58.8%	17
	ST FRANCOIS		% 5	9.3%	0	.0%	22	40.7%	14	25.9%	54
	STE GENEVIEVE		% 1	9.1%	0	.0%	4	36.4%	5	45.5%	11
	SCOTT WASHINGTON		% 0 % 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	1 4	12.5% 33.3%	5 7	62.5% 58.3%	8 12
	* SITE TOTAL *		% 8	4.9%	0	.0%	43	26.4%	76	46.6%	163
SITE 936	BUTLER	1 4.5		13.6%	0	.0%	4	18.2%	9	40.9%	22
	DUNKLIN		% 2	22.2%	0	.0%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	9
	NEW MADRID		% 0	.0%	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	6 10
	PEMISCOT RIPLEY		% 0 % 1	.0% 14.3%	0	.0% .0%	1 0	10.0% .0%	6 5	60.0% 71.4%	10 7
	STODDARD		% 0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	53.8%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	1 1.5		9.0%	0	.0%	8	11.9%	32	47.8%	67
STATE TO	TAL	29 1.5	% 104	5.4%	11	.6%	258	13.5%	1,024	53.4%	1,918

Table 14. Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2014

SITE	COUNTY		5,000			_		\$15,000		\$25,000	)-\$34,999	\$35,000	-\$49,999	\$5	0,000 >	MIS	SING	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	56	33.3%	38	22.6%	28	16.7%	19	11.3%	18		5	3.0%	4	2.4%	0	.0%	168
	* SITE TOTAL *	56	33.3%		22.6%	28	16.7%	19	11.3%		10.7%	5	3.0%	4	2.4%	0	.0%	168
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	67	29.3%	41	17.9%	33	14.4%	39	17.0%	26	11.4%	15	6.6%	8	3.5%	0	.0%	229
	ST LOUIS CITY	79	42.5%	44		27	14.5%	25	13.4%	9	4.8%	1	.5%	1	.5%	0	.0%	186
	* SITE TOTAL *	146	35.2%	85	20.5%	60	14.5%	64	15.4%	35	8.4%	16	3.9%	9	2.2%	0	.0%	415
<b>SITE 733</b>	BOONE	13	48.1%	10	37.0%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	1	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	CALLAWAY	8	42.1%	6	31.6%	1	5.3%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	19
	COLE	11	32.4%	14		4	11.8%	3	8.8%	1	2.9%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	34
	HOWARD	1	25.0%	12	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	RANDOLPH * SITE TOTAL *	10 <b>43</b>	32.3% <b>37.4%</b>	12 <b>42</b>		3 <b>12</b>	9.7% <b>10.4%</b>	2 <b>9</b>	6.5% <b>7.8%</b>	4 6	12.9% <b>5.2%</b>	2	.0% <b>1.7%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>.9%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	31 <b>115</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	ATCHISON	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	Ō	.0%	Ō	.0%	3
	BUCHANAN	2	12.5%	3	18.8%	3	18.8%	6	37.5%	0	.0%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	16
	CALDWELL	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	CLINTON	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	DAVIESS DE KALB	1	33.3%	1 1	33.3% 50.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	1	33.3% 50.0%	0	.0% .0%	3 2
	GENTRY	1	25.0%	1		1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	HOLT	ō	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	1
	NODAWAY	4	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	2		1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	* SITE TOTAL *	13	28.3%	6	13.0%	5	10.9%	13	28.3%	3	6.5%	3	6.5%	3	6.5%	0	.0%	46
SITE 735		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	DENT HOWELL	2 1	40.0% 25.0%	0 1	.0% 25.0%	1 0	20.0% .0%	2 1	40.0% 25.0%	0 1	.0% 25.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0%	5 4
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	OREGON	Ö	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	1
	PHELPS	7	38.9%	1	5.6%	4	22.2%	3	16.7%	3	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	PULASKI	2	22.2%		22.2%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	SHANNON TEXAS	0	.0% .0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7% 50.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% 50.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0%	3 6
	WAYNE	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	23.8%	9		18	28.6%	11	17.5%	9	14.3%	1	1.6%	Ŏ	.0%	Ŏ	.0%	63
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	BENTON	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CAMDEN	5	41.7%	4	33.3%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	DALLAS HENRY	3	37.5% .0%	3	37.5% 33.3%	2 1	25.0% 16.7%	0 2	.0% 33.3%	0 1	.0% 16.7%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8 6
	LACLEDE	8	30.8%	11		6	23.1%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0%	26
	MILLER	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	Ő	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	0	.0%	4
	MONITEAU	2	40.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	MORGAN	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	POLK	3	27.3%	6	54.5%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	ST CLAIR WEBSTER	0 2	.0% 11.8%	0 9	.0% 52.9%	0 4	.0% 23.5%	0 2	.0% 11.8%	0	.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	1 17
	* SITE TOTAL *	29	27.4%	44		21	19.8%	8	7.5%	3		1	.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	106
SITE 737	BARTON	1	25.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	CEDAR	2	22.2%	2		4	44.4%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	9
	DADE	2	40.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	JASPER	5	13.9%	3	8.3%	8	22.2%	9	25.0%	5		6	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	36
	MCDONALD	1	7.7%	0	.0%	4	30.8%	4	30.8%		15.4%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	13
	NEWTON VERNON	5 0	18.5% .0%	3 1	11.1% 25.0%	7 0	25.9% .0%	7 3	25.9% 75.0%	2	7.4% .0%	2	7.4% .0%	1	3.7% .0%	0	.0% .0%	27 4
	* SITE TOTAL *	16	16.3%	9		25	25.5%	2 <b>7</b>	<b>27.6%</b>	<b>10</b>		9	9.2%	2	2.0%	0	.0%	98
SITE 738	BARRY	1	9.1%	3	27.3%	3	27.3%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CHRISTIAN	4	26.7%		13.3%	4	26.7%	3	20.0%	0	.0%	1	6.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	15
	DOUGLAS	6	37.5%	3	18.8%	3	18.8%	1	6.3%	1		1	6.3%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	16
	LAWRENCE	3	18.8%		31.3%	1	6.3%	5	31.3%	1		1	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	OZARK	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	STONE TANEY	7 9	50.0% 25.7%	5	14.3% 14.3%	1 7	7.1% 20.0%	3 6	21.4% 17.1%	1	7.1% 8.6%	0	.0% 8.6%	0	.0% 5.7%	0	.0%	14 35
	WRIGHT	3	16.7%	5	27.8%	2	11.1%	3	16.7%	5		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	33	26.0%		21.3%	21	16.5%	24	18.9%	11		7	5.5%	4	3.2%	ŏ	.0%	127

Table 14. Head of Household Income for Families Accepted During FY 2014

SITE	COUNTY		5,000				0-\$14,999								0,000 >			TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	41	32.8%	17	13.6%	25	20.0%	25	20.0%	9	7.2%	5	4.0%	3	2.4%	0	.0%	125
	* SITE TOTAL *	41	32.8%	17	13.6%	25	20.0%	25	20.0%	9	7.2%	5	4.0%	3	2.4%	0	.0%	125
SITE 740	CHARITON	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4
3112740	GRUNDY	4	44.4%	1		2	22.2%	2	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	HARRISON	2	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	LINN	4	28.6%	2	14.3%	4	28.6%	1	7.1%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	MERCER	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	1	33.3%	0	.0%	3
	* SITE TOTAL *	12	34.3%	4	11.4%	6	17.1%	5	14.3%	5	14.3%	0	.0%	3	8.6%	0	.0%	35
<b>SITE 831</b>	LINCOLN	6	75.0%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	1		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	MARION	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	MONROE	1	50.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PIKE RALLS	7 1	63.6% 50.0%	2	18.2% .0%	1	9.1% .0%	1 1	9.1% 50.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	11 2
	ST CHARLES	16	42.1%	2	5.3%	1	2.6%	6	15.8%	4	10.5%	5	13.2%	4	10.5%	0	.0%	38
	* SITE TOTAL *	36	52.9%	6	8.8%	4	5.9%	8	11.8%	5	7.4%	5	7.4%	4	5.9%	Ŏ	.0%	68
SITE 931	ADAIR	6	31.6%	4	21.1%	5	26.3%	1	5.3%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	CLARK	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	Õ	.0%	6
	LEWIS	2	20.0%	5	50.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MACON	4	36.4%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	SCHUYLER	1	20.0%	0	.0%	2	40.0%	0	.0%	1		0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	5
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	1		1	25.0%	1	25.0%	1		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SHELBY	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	28.3%	17	28.3%	12	20.0%	6	10.0%	6	10.0%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	0	.0%	60
<b>SITE 932</b>	AUDRAIN	8	40.0%	6	30.0%	2	10.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	FRANKLIN	15	62.5%	3	12.5%	3	12.5%	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	GASCONADE	3	42.9%	2	28.6%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	JEFFERSON	14	21.5%	9	13.8%	11	16.9%	9	13.8%	13	20.0%	4	6.2%	5	7.7%	0	.0%	65
	MONTGOMERY	5	62.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	1		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WARREN * SITE TOTAL *	8 <b>53</b>	34.8% <b>35.8%</b>	6 <b>27</b>	26.1% <b>18.2%</b>	5 <b>22</b>	21.7% <b>14.9%</b>	2 <b>16</b>	8.7% <b>10.8%</b>	1 <b>19</b>	4.3% <b>12.8%</b>	1 <b>6</b>	4.3% <b>4.1%</b>	0 <b>5</b>	.0% <b>3.4%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0%	23 <b>148</b>
CITE 022	CASS	_	20.00/	4	4.20/	2	0.20/	4	16.70/	-	20.00/		25 00/	1	4.20/	0	00/	24
SITE 933		5	20.8%	1	4.2%	2	8.3%	4	16.7%	5	20.8%	6	25.0%	1	4.2%	0	.0%	24
	COOPER JOHNSON	0 1	.0% 10.0%	1 1	10.0% 10.0%	2	20.0% 20.0%	3 1	30.0% 10.0%	3	30.0% 30.0%	1 2	10.0% 20.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0%	10 10
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	5	50.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	10
	PETTIS	4	17.4%	4	17.4%	4	17.4%	2	8.7%	6	26.1%	1	4.3%	2	8.7%	0	.0%	23
	SALINE	1	14.3%	0	.0%	1	14.3%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	Ō	.0%	7
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	13.1%	8	9.5%	12	14.3%	17	20.2%	20	23.8%	12	14.3%	4	4.8%	0	.0%	84
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CLAY	5	29.4%	3	17.6%	2	11.8%	3	17.6%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	2	11.8%	0	.0%	17
	PLATTE	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	37.5%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	8
	RAY	2	50.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	8	26.7%	5	16.7%	3	10.0%	3	10.0%	2	6.7%	4	13.3%	5	16.7%	0	.0%	30
<b>SITE 935</b>		4	36.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	11
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	6	15.4%	6	15.4%	8	20.5%	12	30.8%	3	7.7%	2	5.1%	2	5.1%	0	.0%	39
	MADISON	0	.0%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	1	11.1%	2		0	.0%	1		0	.0%	9
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	50.0%	1		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PERRY ST FRANCOIS	2 11	11.8% 20.4%	2 9	11.8% 16.7%	0 10	.0% 18.5%	9 16	52.9% 29.6%	2 4	11.8% 7.4%	0 2	.0% 3.7%	2	11.8% 3.7%	0	.0% .0%	17 54
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	45.5%	3	27.3%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	11
	SCOTT	1	12.5%	2		2	25.0%	3	37.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	Ō	.0%	0	.0%	8
	WASHINGTON	2	16.7%		16.7%	3	25.0%	4	33.3%	1	8.3%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	0	.0%	12
	* SITE TOTAL *	27	16.6%		14.1%	26	16.0%	55	33.7%		10.4%	7	4.3%	8	4.9%	0	.0%	163
SITE 936	BUTLER	6	27.3%	4	18.2%	6	27.3%	4	18.2%	2	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	DUNKLIN	3	33.3%	2	22.2%	3	33.3%	0	.0%	1		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%		16.7%	2	33.3%	1	16.7%	1		Ö	.0%	1		Ö	.0%	6
	PEMISCOT	5	50.0%	2	20.0%	2	20.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	RIPLEY	5	71.4%	1	14.3%	1	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	STODDARD	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	4	30.8%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	* SITE TOTAL *	21	31.3%	11	16.4%	18	26.9%	9	13.4%	5	7.5%	2	3.0%	1	1.5%	0	.0%	67
STATE TO	TAL	577	30.1%	378	19.7%	318	16.6%	319	16.6%	183	9.5%	86	4.5%	57	3.0%	0	.0%	1,918

Table 15. Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted During FY 2014

Parenting Skills Problems	1,587	82.7%
Communication	1,022	53.3%
Parent/Child Conflict	960	50.1%
Mental Health Problems	797	41.6%
Child Neglect	776	40.5%
Emotional Problems	589	30.7%
Severe Financial Problems	485	25.3%
Transportation	376	19.6%
Drug Abuse	344	17.9%
Physical Abuse	277	14.4%
Medical Illness/Disability	254	13.2%
Marital Conflict	216	11.3%
Homelessness	190	9.9%
Shelter	179	9.3%
Physical Violence	178	9.3%
School Problems	175	9.1%
Alcohol Abuse	123	6.4%
Criminal Behavior	81	4.2%
Sexual Abuse	78	4.1%
Developmental Disability	75	3.9%
Delinquent Behavior	54	2.8%
Pregnancy	46	2.4%
Suicide Attempts	28	1.5%
Runaway	14	.7%
Prostitution	2	.1%

#### **Notes: Heads of Household Served Tables**

Tables are based upon families accepted to Intensive In-Home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated.

# Table 15 Head of Household Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-Home Services During FY 2014

• Percent is the percentage of total heads of households. Percent total is greater than 100 because a head of household may have up to four problems addressed.

# **At-Risk Children Served**

Intensive In-Home Services Table 16. Age of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2014												
	=		=	_			12		. 12	TOTAL		
SITE	COUNTY		<1		5		12		: 13	TOTAL		
SITE 731	JACKSON * SITE TOTAL *	53 <b>53</b>	13.9% <b>13.9%</b>	128 <b>128</b>	33.5% <b>33.5%</b>	142 <b>142</b>	37.2% <b>37.2%</b>	59 <b>59</b>	15.4% <b>15.4%</b>	382 <b>382</b>		
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	28	6.4%	114	26.1%	173	39.7%	121	27.8%	436		
	ST LOUIS CITY  * SITE TOTAL *	44 <b>72</b>	10.1% <b>8.3%</b>	163 <b>277</b>	37.4% <b>31.8%</b>	167 <b>340</b>	38.3% <b>39.0%</b>	62 <b>183</b>	14.2% <b>21.0%</b>	436 <b>872</b>		
SITE 733	BOONE	8	13.8%	12	20.7%	32	55.2%	6	10.3%	58		
	CALLAWAY	9	20.5%	16	36.4%	14	31.8%	5	11.4%	44		
	COLE HOWARD	4 0	5.1% .0%	27 3	34.6% 50.0%	30 2	38.5% 33.3%	17 1	21.8% 16.7%	78 6		
	RANDOLPH	8	12.5%	19	29.7%	26	40.6%	11	17.2%	64		
	* SITE TOTAL *	29	11.6%	77	30.8%	104	41.6%	40	16.0%	250		
SITE 734	ANDREW	1	20.0%	0	.0%	1	20.0% 50.0%	3	60.0%	5		
	ATCHISON BUCHANAN	0	.0% 7.3%	1 21	16.7% 51.2%	3 14	34.1%	2	33.3% 7.3%	6 41		
	CALDWELL	1	33.3%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	3		
	CLINTON	1	12.5%	2	25.0%	5	62.5%	0	.0%	8		
	DAVIESS	0	.0%	3	60.0%	1	20.0%	1	20.0%	5		
	DE KALB	0	.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	2	50.0%	4		
	GENTRY	1 0	12.5%	2 0	25.0%	4	50.0%	1 2	12.5%	8		
	HOLT NODAWAY	4	.0% 23.5%	7	.0% 41.2%	1 5	33.3% 29.4%	1	66.7% 5.9%	17		
	WORTH	0	.0%	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	0	.0%	4		
	* SITE TOTAL *	11	10.6%	40	38.5%	38	36.5%	15	14.4%	104		
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1		
	CRAWFORD	1 0	11.1%	3	33.3% 7.1%	2 11	22.2%	3 2	33.3%	9		
	DENT HOWELL	2	.0% 22.2%	1 4	7.1% 44.4%	2	78.6% 22.2%	1	14.3% 11.1%	14 9		
	IRON	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	2	33.3%	6		
	OREGON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2		
	PHELPS	1	3.1%	13	40.6%	12	37.5%	6	18.8%	32		
	PULASKI	3	14.3%	6	28.6%	5	23.8%	7	33.3%	21		
	REYNOLDS SHANNON	1 1	20.0% 14.3%	1 4	20.0% 57.1%	0 2	.0% 28.6%	3 0	60.0% .0%	5 7		
	TEXAS	1	7.7%	3	23.1%	3	23.1%	6	46.2%	13		
	WAYNE	4	22.2%	5	27.8%	7	38.9%	2	11.1%	18		
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	12.4%	41	29.9%	46	33.6%	33	24.1%	137		
<b>SITE 736</b>	BATES	3	42.9%	0	.0%	2	28.6%	2	28.6%	7		
	BENTON	1	16.7%	3	50.0%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	6		
	CAMDEN	1	3.6%	10 4	35.7%	10 4	35.7%	7 2	25.0%	28		
	DALLAS HENRY	2	16.7% 20.0%	4	33.3% 26.7%	4	33.3% 26.7%	4	16.7% 26.7%	12 15		
	LACLEDE	4	7.5%	19	35.8%	22	41.5%	8	15.1%	53		
	MILLER	1	8.3%	1	8.3%	7	58.3%	3	25.0%	12		
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	2	16.7%	6	50.0%	4	33.3%	12		
	MORGAN	0	.0%	4	22.2%	8	44.4%	6	33.3%	18		
	POLK ST CLAIR	2 1	6.9% 100.0%	11 0	37.9% .0%	14 0	48.3% .0%	2 0	6.9% .0%	29 1		
	WEBSTER	3	7.0%	8	18.6%	21	48.8%	11	25.6%	43		
	* SITE TOTAL *	21	8.9%	66	28.0%	100	42.4%	49	20.8%	236		
SITE 737	BARTON	1	11.1%	3	33.3%	4	44.4%	1	11.1%	9		
	CEDAR DADE	1 0	5.3% .0%	12 2	63.2% 20.0%	4 4	21.1% 40.0%	2 4	10.5% 40.0%	19 10		
	JASPER	5	5.6%	21	23.6%	38	42.7%	25	28.1%	89		
	MCDONALD	2	6.9%	9	31.0%	14	48.3%	4	13.8%	29		
	NEWTON	8	14.3%	17	30.4%	22	39.3%	9	16.1%	56		
	VERNON	0	.0%	1	16.7%	2	33.3%	3	50.0%	6		
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	7.8%	65	29.8%	88	40.4%	48	22.0%	218		
SITE 738	BARRY CHRISTIAN	1 3	2.9% 10.3%	10 7	29.4% 24.1%	14 12	41.2% 41.4%	9 7	26.5% 24.1%	34 29		
	DOUGLAS	2	5.7%	12	34.3%	14	40.0%	7	20.0%	35		
	LAWRENCE	4	9.8%	11	26.8%	12	29.3%	14	34.1%	41		
	OZARK	0	.0%	1	25.0%	3	75.0%	0	.0%	4		
	STONE	3	11.1%	12	44.4%	8	29.6%	4	14.8%	27		
	TANEY WRIGHT	6 3	7.7% 7.5%	26 14	33.3% 35.0%	29 18	37.2% 45.0%	17 5	21.8% 12.5%	78 40		
	* SITE TOTAL *	22	7.5% <b>7.6%</b>	93	33.0% <b>32.3%</b>	110	38.2%	<b>63</b>	21.9%	288		
	JIL IOIAL	22	7.070	93	32.3/0	110	JU.2/0	03	£1.3/0	200		

#### **Intensive In-Home Services** Table 16. Age of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2014 SITE < 1 6-12 >= 13 TOTAL **SITE 739** GREENE 43 16.0% 97 36.2% 101 37.7% 10.1% 268 \* SITE TOTAL \* 43 16.0% 97 36.2% 37.7% 27 10.1% 268 101 12.5% **SITE 740 CHARITON** 1 37.5% 25.0% 2 25.0% 8 GRUNDY 0 .0% 10 47.6% 8 38.1% 3 14.3% 21 **HARRISON** 2 20.0% 7 70.0% 1 10.0% 0 .0% 10 LINN 2 7.4% 4 14.8% 51.9% 25.9% 27 14 MERCER 0 25.0% 75.0% 0 .0% 1 3 .0% 4 SULLIVAN 0 .0% 37.5% 4 50.0% 1 12.5% 8 \* SITE TOTAL \* 5 6.4% 28 35.9% 32 41.0% 13 16.7% 78 **SITE 831** LINCOLN 9.1% 40.9% 8 36.4% 3 13.6% 22 MARION 3 30.0% 0 .0% 6 60.0% 10.0% 10 1 MONROE 33.3% 66.7% 0 .0% 1 2 .0% O 3 3 9 42.3% 11 5% PIKE 11.5% 34.6% 11 3 26 **RALLS** 1 25.0% 1 25.0% 0 .0% 2 50.0% 4 ST CHARLES 2.9% 14 20.0% 34 48.6% 20 28.6% 70 \* SITE TOTAL \* 12 59 8.9% 35 25.9% 43.7% 29 21.5% 135 **SITF 931** 2 35.9% 19 48.7% 10.3% ADAIR 5.1% 14 4 39 CLARK 0 .0% 5 33.3% 6 40.0% 4 26.7% 15 **LEWIS** 0 .0% 7 38.9% 44.4% 3 16.7% 18 MACON 3 5 23.8% 8 38.1% 23.8% 14.3% 5 21 9 **SCHUYLER** 0 64.3% 5 35.7% 0 .0% .0% 14 SCOTI AND 0 .0% 1 12.5% 6 75.0% 12.5% 8 1 SHELBY 0 .0% 20.0% 7 70.0% 1 10.0% 10 \* SITE TOTAL \* 5 4.0% 43 34.4% 59 47.2% 18 14.4% 125 **SITE 932 AUDRAIN** 12.5% 13 40.6% 28.1% 6 18.8% 32 32 FRANKLIN 4 6.0% 24 35.8% 47.8% 10.4% 67 GASCONADE 0 25.0% 40.0% 35.0% 20 .0% 5 8 **JEFFERSON** 7 5.5% 37 28.9% 55 43.0% 29 22.7% 128 MONTGOMERY 3 15.8% 36.8% 26.3% 4 21.1% 19 **OSAGE** 0 .0% 33.3% 1 33.3% 1 33.3% 3 1 WARREN 3 5.2% 27.6% 19 20 34.5% 58 16 32.8% \* SITE TOTAL \* 21 103 31.5% 129 39.5% 74 327 6.4% 22.6% **SITE 933 CASS** 4 7.7% 18 34.6% 21 40.4% 9 17.3% 52 COOPER 15.8% 47.4% 31.6% 1 5.3% 3 6 19 2 4 **JOHNSON** 9.1% 18.2% 11 50.0% 5 22.7% 22 LAFAYETTE 1 5.9% 3 17.6% 6 35.3% 41.2% 17 0 20 PETTIS .0% 16 31.4% 39.2% 15 29.4% 51 10.0% SALINE 1 20.0% 4 40.0% 30.0% 10 \* SITE TOTAL \* 9 5.3% 46 26.9% 71 41.5% 45 26.3% 171 **SITE 934** CARROLL 0 .0% 40.0% 20.0% 2 40.0% 1 CLAY 16.2% 10 27.0% 15 40.5% 6 16.2% 37 6 68.4% **PLATTE** 1 5.3% 3 15.8% 13 2 10.5% 19 RAY 1 25.0% 0 .0% 2 50.0% 1 25.0% 4 \* SITE TOTAL \* 8 12.3% 15 23.1% 31 47.7% 11 16.9% 65 **SITE 935** 9 19 **BOLLINGER** 2 10.5% 31.6% 47.4% 2 10.5% 6 CAPE GIRARDEAU 30 8 10.0% 21 26.3% 37.5% 21 26.3% ጸበ MADISON 0 .0% 9 47.4% 7 36.8% 3 15.8% 19 MISSISSIPPI 1 33.3% 1 33.3% 1 33.3% 0 .0% 3 37.5% **PERRY** 2 8.3% 20.8% 8 33.3% 24 ST FRANCOIS 20 20.8% 40 29 30.2% 96 7.3% 41.7% STE GENEVIEVE 1 6.3% 18.8% 5 31.3% 7 43.8% 16 3 7 SCOTT 1 7.1% 50.0% 5 35.7% 1 7.1% 14 7 WASHINGTON 3 16.7% 4 22.2% 38.9% 4 22.2% 18 \* SITE TOTAL \* 25 8.7% 76 26.3% 112 38.8% 76 26.3% 289 **SITE 936 BUTLER** 9.3% 10 23.3% 21 48.8% 8 18.6% 43 DUNKLIN 4 14.3% 10 35.7% 13 46.4% 1 3.6% 28 **NEW MADRID** 1 8.3% 3 25.0% 5 41.7% 3 25.0% 12 **PEMISCOT** 6.1% 24.2% 17 51.5% 6 18.2% 33

8

43

1,273

57.1%

12.9%

26.7%

31.0%

3

16

75

1,637

21.4%

51.6%

46.6%

39.9%

0

8

26

809

.0%

25.8%

16.1%

19.7%

14

31

161

4,106

3

3

17

387

21.4%

10.6%

9.4%

9.7%

**RIPLEY** 

STATE TOTAL

**STODDARD** 

\* SITE TOTAL \*

Table 17. Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2014

SITE	COUNTY		/IALE		ALE	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON	181	47.4%	201	52.6%	382
	* SITE TOTAL *	181	47.4%	201	52.6%	382
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	202	46.3%	234	53.7%	436
JIIL 732	ST LOUIS CITY	229	52.5%	207	47.5%	436
	* SITE TOTAL *	431	49.4%	441	50.6%	872
SITE 733	BOONE	33	56.9%	25	43.1%	58
	CALLAWAY	20	45.5%	24	54.5%	44
	COLE	47	60.3%	31	39.7%	78
	HOWARD	1	16.7%	5	83.3%	6
	RANDOLPH	25	39.1%	39	60.9%	64
	* SITE TOTAL *	126	50.4%	124	49.6%	250
SITE 734	ANDREW	3	60.0%	2	40.0%	5
311E 734	ATCHISON	3	50.0%	3	50.0%	6
	BUCHANAN	15	36.6%	26	63.4%	41
	CALDWELL	13	33.3%	20	66.7%	3
	CLINTON	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	DAVIESS	3		2		5
	DE KALB	1	60.0% 25.0%	3	40.0% 75.0%	4
	GENTRY	4	50.0%	4	50.0%	8
	HOLT	2	66.7%	1	33.3%	3
	NODAWAY	7	41.2%	10	58.8%	17
	WORTH	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	45	43.3%	59	56.7%	104
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	1	100.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	3	33.3%	6	66.7%	9
	DENT	7	50.0%	7	50.0%	14
	HOWELL	3	33.3%	6	66.7%	9
	IRON	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	6
	OREGON	0	.0%	2	100.0%	2
	PHELPS	12	37.5%	20	62.5%	32
	PULASKI	14	66.7%	7	33.3%	21
	REYNOLDS	4	80.0%	1	20.0%	5
	SHANNON	5	71.4%	2	28.6%	7
	TEXAS	4	30.8%	9	69.2%	13
	WAYNE	8	44.4%	10	55.6%	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	64	44.4% 46.7%	73	53.0% <b>53.3%</b>	137
		•	101775		55.575	
SITE 736	BATES	2	28.6%	5	71.4%	7
	BENTON	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	6
	CAMDEN	9	32.1%	19	67.9%	28
	DALLAS	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	12
	HENRY	8	53.3%	7	46.7%	15
	LACLEDE	24	45.3%	29	54.7%	53
	MILLER	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	12
	MONITEAU	8	66.7%	4	33.3%	12
	MORGAN	9	50.0%	9	50.0%	18
	POLK	10	34.5%	19	65.5%	29
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	21	48.8%	22	51.2%	43
	* SITE TOTAL *	108	45.8%	128	54.2%	236
CITE 727	DARTON	-	EE C0/		44.40/	^
SITE 737	BARTON	5	55.6%	4	44.4%	9
	CEDAR	9	47.4%	10	52.6%	19
	DADE	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	10
	JASPER	50	56.2%	39	43.8%	89
	MCDONALD	19	65.5%	10	34.5%	29
	NEWTON	23	41.1%	33	58.9%	56
	VERNON * SITE TOTAL *	3 <b>114</b>	50.0% <b>52.3%</b>	3 <b>104</b>	50.0% <b>47.7%</b>	6 <b>218</b>
	SHE IOIAL	114	52.5%	104	47.7%	210
SITE 738	BARRY	14	41.2%	20	58.8%	34
	CHRISTIAN	13	44.8%	16	55.2%	29
	DOUGLAS	17	48.6%	18	51.4%	35
	LAWRENCE	21	51.2%	20	48.8%	41
	OZARK	4	100.0%	0	.0%	4
	STONE	17	63.0%	10	37.0%	27
	TANEY	41	52.6%	37	47.4%	78
	WRIGHT	23	57.5%	17	42.5%	40
	* SITE TOTAL *	150	52.1%	138	47.9%	288
	SILE IOIAL	130	J2.1/0	130	77.370	200

Table 17. Gender of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2014

STIE 739   GREENE	SITE	COUNTY	FEI	<b>NALE</b>	М	ALE	TOTAL
STIE 740   CHARITON   S   62.5%   3   37.5%   8   8   62.5%   10   47.6%   11   52.4%   21   44.4%   15   52.6%   27   40.0%   66.00%   10   47.6%   11   52.4%   21   44.4%   15   55.6%   27   40.0%   66.00%   10   47.6%   11   52.4%   21   40.0%   40.	SITE 739						
GRUNDY		* SITE TOTAL *	130	48.5%	138	51.5%	268
HARRISON	<b>SITE 740</b>	CHARITON	5	62.5%	3	37.5%	8
LINN MERCER 2 50.0% 2 50.0% 3 50.0% 4 50.0% 8 SULLIVAN 2 2 55.0% 3 6 75.0% 8 8 51ET TOTAL* 3 59.1% 4 9 40.9% 5 10.0% 1 3 59.1% 6 6 60.0% 4 40.0% 10 MARION 6 6 60.0% 1 3 33.3% 2 66.7% 3 7 10.0% 10 3 50.0% 11 50.0% 2 50.0% 2 50.0% 2 50.0% 2 50.0% 2 50.0% 2 50.0% 2 50.0% 2 50.0% 2 50.0% 3		GRUNDY	10		11		21
MERCER   2 50.0%   2 50.0%   4		HARRISON	4	40.0%	6	60.0%	10
SULLIVAN		LINN	12	44.4%	15	55.6%	27
*SITE TOTAL * 35 44.9% 43 55.1% 78  SITE 831 LINCOLN 13 59.1% 9 40.9% 22  MARION 6 6 60.0% 4 40.0% 10  MONROE 1 33.3% 2 66.7% 3  PIKE 131 50.0% 13 50.0% 25  RALLS 2 50.0% 2 50.00% 4  *SITE TOTAL * 65 48.1% 70 51.9% 135  SITE 931 ADAIR 19 48.7% 20 51.3% 39  CLARK 9 9 60.0% 6 40.0% 15  LEWIS 10 55.6% 8 44.4% 18  MACON 6 28.6% 15 71.4% 21  SCOTLAND 3 37.5% 5 62.5% 8  SHELBY 6 60.0% 4 40.00% 10  SCHUYLER 4 28.6% 10 71.4% 14  SCOTLAND 3 37.5% 5 62.5% 8  SHELBY 6 60.0% 6 8 54.4% 125  SITE 932 AUDRAIN 22 68.8% 10 31.3% 32  FRANKLIN 28 41.8% 39 58.2% 67  FRANKLIN 28 41.8% 39 58.2% 67  FRANKLIN 28 41.8% 39 58.2% 67  GASCONADE 9 45.0% 11 55.0% 20  LEFFERSON 60 45.9% 11 55.0% 20  LEFFERSON 60 45.9% 11 55.0% 30  WARREN 24 41.4% 34 58.6% 32  WARREN 24 41.4% 34 58.6% 32  SITE 932 CASS 20 38.5% 32 61.5% 52  SITE 934 CASS 20 38.5% 32 61.5% 52  SITE 935 ALDRAIL 2 40.0% 13 59.1% 22  LAFAYETTE TOTAL 4 5 5 50.0% 11 52.3% 327  SITE 934 CARPOLL 2 40.0% 13 59.1% 22  LAFAYETTE 7 41.2% 10 58.8% 17  SITE 935 BOLLINGER 8 42.1% 11 57.9% 19  CAPE GIRARDEAU 38 47.7% 10 52.6% 19  LAFAYETTE 9 7 41.2% 10 58.8% 17  SITE 935 BOLLINGER 8 42.1% 11 57.9% 19  CAP GIRARDEAU 38 47.5% 16 43.2% 37  SITE 935 BOLLINGER 8 42.1% 11 57.9% 19  CAP GIRARDEAU 38 47.5% 16 43.2% 37  SITE 935 BOLLINGER 8 42.1% 11 57.9% 19  CAP GIRARDEAU 38 47.5% 16 43.2% 37  SITE 935 BOLLINGER 8 42.1% 11 57.9% 19  CAP GIRARDEAU 38 47.5% 16 43.2% 37  SITE 936 CAP GIRARDEAU 38 47.5% 16 43.2% 37  SITE 937 SITE TOTAL 8 50.0% 8 50.0% 10  SITE 938 BOLLINGER 8 42.1% 11 57.9% 19  CAP GIRARDEAU 38 47.5% 15 62.5% 24  SITE 936 BOLLINGER 8 50.0% 8 50.0% 10  SITE 937 SITE TOTAL 9 37.5% 15 62.5% 24  SITE 938 BOLLINGER 8 42.1% 11 57.9% 19  CAP GIRARDEAU 38 47.5% 16 43.2% 37  SITE 937 SITE TOTAL 9 33.3% 12  SITE 938 BOLLINGER 8 42.1% 11 57.9% 19  CAP GIRARDEAU 38 47.5% 10 83.3% 12  SITE 938 BOLLINGER 8 50.0% 10 71.4% 14  WASHINGTON 9 37.5% 15 62.5% 24  SITE 938 BOLLINGER 9 37.5% 15 62.5% 24  SITE 938 BOLLINGER 11 13 53.3% 158 50.0% 10  SITE 936 BOLLINGER 11 13 53.3% 128  SITE 936 BOLLINGER 11 13		MERCER	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
SITE 831		SULLIVAN	2	25.0%	6	75.0%	8
MARION   6 60.0%   4 40.0%   10		* SITE TOTAL *	35	44.9%	43	55.1%	78
MARION   6 60.0%   4 40.0%   10	SITE 831	LINCOLN	13	59.1%	9	40.9%	22
MONROE   1 33.3%   2 66.7%   3 FOLKER   13 50.0%   13 50.0%   26 FOLKER   250.0%   4							
PIKE   13 50.0%   2 50.0%   2 50.0%   2 50.0%   4 ST.CHARLES   30 42.9%   40 57.1%   70 51.9%   135 51.0%   2 50.0%   4 50.0%   4 50.0%   4 50.0%   4 50.0%   4 50.0%   13 50.0%   2 50.0%   4 50.0%   13 50.0%   2 50.0%   3 50.0%   13 50.0%   13 50.0%   2 50.0%   3 50.0%   1		MONROE			2	66.7%	3
STCHARLES   30   42.9%   40   57.1%   70   70   70   70   70   70   70   7		PIKE	13		13	50.0%	
SITE TOTAL *   65   48.1%   70   51.9%   135		RALLS	2	50.0%	2	50.0%	4
SITE 931		ST CHARLES	30	42.9%	40	57.1%	70
CLARK		* SITE TOTAL *	65	48.1%	70	51.9%	135
CLARK	SITE 931	ADAIR	19	48.7%	20	51.3%	39
MACON   66   28.6%   15   71.4%   21   SCHUYLER   4   28.6%   10   71.4%   14   SCOTLAND   3   37.5%   5   62.5%   14   SCOTLAND   3   37.5%   5   62.5%   14   SCOTLAND   3   37.5%   5   62.5%   14   4   40.0%   10   10   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5   5		CLARK	9		6	40.0%	15
MACON   66   28.6%   15   71.4%   21   SCHUYLER   4   28.6%   10   71.4%   14   14   14   15   15   15   15   15		LEWIS	10		8		18
SCOTLAND   3 37.5%   5 62.5%   8 SHELBY   6 60.0%   4 40.0%   10		MACON	6		15	71.4%	21
SCOTLAND   3 37.5%   5 62.5%   8   SHELBY   6 60.0%   4 40.0%   10     * SITE TOTAL *		SCHUYLER	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	14
*SITE TOTAL *		SCOTLAND	3	37.5%	5	62.5%	8
SITE 932		SHELBY	6	60.0%	4	40.0%	10
FRANKLIN		* SITE TOTAL *	57	45.6%	68	54.4%	125
FRANKLIN	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	22	68.8%	10	31.3%	32
GASCONADE JEFFERSON JEFFER		FRANKLIN					
MONTGOMERY   10   52.6%   9   47.4%   19   OSAGE   3   100.0%   0   .0%   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3   3		GASCONADE	9	45.0%	11	55.0%	20
OSAGE   3 100.0%   0 .0%   3   WARREN   24 41.4%   34 58.6%   58   58   58   58   58   58   58   5		JEFFERSON	60	46.9%	68	53.1%	128
WARREN		MONTGOMERY	10	52.6%	9	47.4%	19
*SITE TOTAL *   156		OSAGE	3	100.0%	0	.0%	3
SITE 933   CASS   20   38.5%   32   61.5%   52		WARREN	24	41.4%	34	58.6%	58
COOPER		* SITE TOTAL *	156	47.7%	171	52.3%	327
JOHNSON	SITE 933	CASS	20	38.5%	32	61.5%	52
LAFAYETTE		COOPER	11	57.9%	8	42.1%	19
PETTIS   SALINE   5   50.0%   5   50.0%   10		JOHNSON	9	40.9%	13	59.1%	22
SALINE		LAFAYETTE	7	41.2%	10	58.8%	17
* SITE TOTAL * 79 46.2% 92 53.8% 171  SITE 934 CARROLL 2 40.0% 3 60.0% 5 CLAY 21 56.8% 16 43.2% 37 PLATTE 9 47.4% 10 52.6% 19 RAY 0 .0% 4 100.0% 4 * SITE TOTAL * 32 49.2% 33 50.8% 65  SITE 935 BOLLINGER 8 42.1% 11 57.9% 19 CAPE GIRARDEAU 38 47.5% 42 52.5% 80 MADISON 10 52.6% 9 47.4% 19 MISSISPPI 1 33.3% 2 66.7% 3 PERRY 9 37.5% 15 62.5% 24 ST FRANCOIS 46 47.9% 50 52.1% 96 STE GENEVIEVE 8 50.0% 8 50.0% 16 SCOTT 4 28.6% 10 71.4% 14 WASHINGTON 7 38.9% 11 61.1% 18 * SITE TOTAL * 131 45.3% 158 54.7% 289  SITE 936 BUTLER 21 48.8% 22 51.2% 43 DUNKLIN 17 60.7% 11 39.3% 28 NEW MADRID 2 16.7% 10 83.3% 12 PEMISCOT 18 54.5% 15 45.5% 33 RIPLEY 7 50.0% 7 50.0% 14 STODDARD 15 48.4% 16 51.6% 31 * SITE TOTAL * 80 49.7% 81 50.3% 161		PETTIS	27	52.9%	24	47.1%	51
SITE 934   CARROLL   2   40.0%   3   60.0%   5   50.24   156.8%   16   43.2%   37   7   7   7   7   7   7   7   7		SALINE	5	50.0%	5	50.0%	10
CLAY PLATTE PLAT		* SITE TOTAL *	79	46.2%	92	53.8%	171
CLAY PLATTE PLAT	SITE 934	CARROLL	2	40.0%	3	60.0%	5
RAY * SITE TOTAL *  * SITE 935  BOLLINGER CAPE GIRARDEAU MADISON MISSISSIPPI MISSISSIPPI STE GENEVIEVE STE GENEVIEVE SCOTT MASHINGTON TO 52.6% SITE 42.6% SCOTT MASHINGTON TO 52.6% SCOTT TO 4 28.6% TO 71.4% TO 52.6% STE GENEVIEVE TO 8 50.0% TO 52.1% TO 52.1% TO 52.6% TO 71.4% TO 52.6% TO 71.4% TO 52.6% TO 72.4% TO 52.5% TO 52.1% TO 52.6% TO 72.4% TO 72.			21	56.8%	16		37
* SITE TOTAL *  32 49.2% 33 50.8% 65  SITE 935 BOLLINGER CAPE GIRARDEAU 38 47.5% 42 52.5% 80 MADISON 10 52.6% 9 47.4% 19 MISSISSIPPI 1 33.3% 2 66.7% 3 PERRY 9 37.5% 15 62.5% 24 ST FRANCOIS 46 47.9% 50 52.1% 96 STE GENEVIEVE 8 50.0% 8 50.0% 16 SCOTT 4 28.6% 10 71.4% 14 WASHINGTON 7 38.9% 11 61.1% 18 * SITE TOTAL *  131 45.3% 158 54.7% 289  SITE 936 BUTLER DUNKLIN 17 60.7% 11 39.3% 28 NEW MADRID 2 16.7% 10 83.3% 12 PEMISCOT 18 54.5% 15 45.5% 33 RIPLEY 7 50.0% 7 50.0% 14 STODDARD 15 48.4% 16 51.6% 31 * SITE TOTAL *		PLATTE	9	47.4%	10	52.6%	19
SITE 935   BOLLINGER   8   42.1%   11   57.9%   19		RAY	0	.0%	4	100.0%	4
CAPE GIRARDEAU  MADISON  10 52.6% 9 47.4% 19 MISSISSIPPI 1 33.3% 2 66.7% 3 PERRY 9 37.5% 15 62.5% 24 ST FRANCOIS 46 47.9% 50 52.1% 96 STE GENEVIEVE 8 50.0% 8 50.0% 16 SCOTT 4 28.6% 10 71.4% 14 WASHINGTON 7 38.9% 11 61.1% 18 * SITE TOTAL*  BUTLER 21 48.8% 22 51.2% 43 DUNKLIN 17 60.7% 11 39.3% 28 NEW MADRID 2 16.7% 10 83.3% 12 PEMISCOT 18 54.5% 15 45.5% 33 RIPLEY 7 50.0% 7 50.0% 14 STODDARD 15 48.4% 16 51.6% 31 * SITE TOTAL*  80 49.7% 81 50.3% 161		* SITE TOTAL *	32	49.2%	33	50.8%	65
CAPE GIRARDEAU  MADISON  10 52.6% 9 47.4% 19 MISSISSIPPI 1 33.3% 2 66.7% 3 PERRY 9 37.5% 15 62.5% 24 ST FRANCOIS 46 47.9% 50 52.1% 96 STE GENEVIEVE 8 50.0% 8 50.0% 16 SCOTT 4 28.6% 10 71.4% 14 WASHINGTON 7 38.9% 11 61.1% 18 * SITE TOTAL*  BUTLER 21 48.8% 22 51.2% 43 DUNKLIN 17 60.7% 11 39.3% 28 NEW MADRID 2 16.7% 10 83.3% 12 PEMISCOT 18 54.5% 15 45.5% 33 RIPLEY 7 50.0% 7 50.0% 14 STODDARD 15 48.4% 16 51.6% 31 * SITE TOTAL*  80 49.7% 81 50.3% 161	SITE 935	BOLLINGER	8	42.1%	11	57.9%	19
MADISON   10   52.6%   9   47.4%   19   MISSISSIPPI   1   33.3%   2   66.7%   3   PERRY   9   37.5%   15   62.5%   24   ST FRANCOIS   46   47.9%   50   52.1%   96   STE GENEVIEVE   8   50.0%   8   50.0%   16   SCOTT   4   28.6%   10   71.4%   14   WASHINGTON   7   38.9%   11   61.1%   18   * SITE TOTAL *   131   45.3%   158   54.7%   289    SITE 936   BUTLER   21   48.8%   22   51.2%   43   DUNKLIN   17   60.7%   11   39.3%   28   NEW MADRID   2   16.7%   10   83.3%   12   PEMISCOT   18   54.5%   15   45.5%   33   RIPLEY   7   50.0%   7   50.0%   14   STODDARD   15   48.4%   16   51.6%   31   * SITE TOTAL *   80   49.7%   81   50.3%   161							
PERRY   9   37.5%   15   62.5%   24     ST FRANCOIS   46   47.9%   50   52.1%   96     STE GENEVIEVE   8   50.0%   8   50.0%   16     SCOTT   4   28.6%   10   71.4%   14     WASHINGTON   7   38.9%   11   61.1%   18     * SITE TOTAL *   131   45.3%   158   54.7%   289    SITE 936   BUTLER   21   48.8%   22   51.2%   43     DUNKLIN   17   60.7%   11   39.3%   28     NEW MADRID   2   16.7%   10   83.3%   12     PEMISCOT   18   54.5%   15   45.5%   33     RIPLEY   7   50.0%   7   50.0%   14     STODDARD   15   48.4%   16   51.6%   31     * SITE TOTAL *   80   49.7%   81   50.3%   161		MADISON	10	52.6%	9	47.4%	19
ST FRANCOIS   46   47.9%   50   52.1%   96     STE GENEVIEVE   8   50.0%   8   50.0%   16     SCOTT   4   28.6%   10   71.4%   14     WASHINGTON   7   38.9%   11   61.1%   18     * SITE TOTAL *   131   45.3%   158   54.7%   289    SITE 936   BUTLER   21   48.8%   22   51.2%   43     DUNKLIN   17   60.7%   11   39.3%   28     NEW MADRID   2   16.7%   10   83.3%   12     PEMISCOT   18   54.5%   15   45.5%   33     RIPLEY   7   50.0%   7   50.0%   14     STODDARD   15   48.4%   16   51.6%   31     * SITE TOTAL *   80   49.7%   81   50.3%   161		MISSISSIPPI	1	33.3%	2	66.7%	3
STE GENEVIEVE   8   50.0%   8   50.0%   16   SCOTT   4   28.6%   10   71.4%   14   WASHINGTON   7   38.9%   11   61.1%   18   * SITE TOTAL *   131   45.3%   158   54.7%   289    SITE 936   BUTLER   21   48.8%   22   51.2%   43   DUNKLIN   17   60.7%   11   39.3%   28   NEW MADRID   2   16.7%   10   83.3%   12   PEMISCOT   18   54.5%   15   45.5%   33   RIPLEY   7   50.0%   7   50.0%   14   STODDARD   15   48.4%   16   51.6%   31   * SITE TOTAL *   80   49.7%   81   50.3%   161		PERRY	9	37.5%	15	62.5%	24
SCOTT		ST FRANCOIS	46	47.9%	50	52.1%	96
WASHINGTON       7       38.9%       11       61.1%       18         * SITE TOTAL*       131       45.3%       158       54.7%       289         SITE 936       BUTLER       21       48.8%       22       51.2%       43         DUNKLIN       17       60.7%       11       39.3%       28         NEW MADRID       2       16.7%       10       83.3%       12         PEMISCOT       18       54.5%       15       45.5%       33         RIPLEY       7       50.0%       7       50.0%       14         STODDARD       15       48.4%       16       51.6%       31         * SITE TOTAL *       80       49.7%       81       50.3%       161		STE GENEVIEVE	8	50.0%	8	50.0%	16
* SITE TOTAL * 131 45.3% 158 54.7% 289  SITE 936 BUTLER 21 48.8% 22 51.2% 43 DUNKLIN 17 60.7% 11 39.3% 28 NEW MADRID 2 16.7% 10 83.3% 12 PEMISCOT 18 54.5% 15 45.5% 33 RIPLEY 7 50.0% 7 50.0% 14 STODDARD 15 48.4% 16 51.6% 31 * SITE TOTAL * 80 49.7% 81 50.3% 161		SCOTT	4	28.6%	10	71.4%	14
SITE 936       BUTLER DUNKLIN 17 60.7% 11 39.3% 28 NEW MADRID 2 16.7% 10 83.3% 12 PEMISCOT 18 54.5% 15 45.5% 33 RIPLEY 7 50.0% 7 50.0% 14 STODDARD 15 48.4% 16 51.6% 31 * SITE TOTAL *       15 48.4% 16 51.6% 31 50.3% 161		WASHINGTON	7	38.9%	11	61.1%	18
DUNKLIN       17       60.7%       11       39.3%       28         NEW MADRID       2       16.7%       10       83.3%       12         PEMISCOT       18       54.5%       15       45.5%       33         RIPLEY       7       50.0%       7       50.0%       14         STODDARD       15       48.4%       16       51.6%       31         * SITE TOTAL *       80       49.7%       81       50.3%       161			131	45.3%	158	54.7%	289
DUNKLIN       17       60.7%       11       39.3%       28         NEW MADRID       2       16.7%       10       83.3%       12         PEMISCOT       18       54.5%       15       45.5%       33         RIPLEY       7       50.0%       7       50.0%       14         STODDARD       15       48.4%       16       51.6%       31         * SITE TOTAL *       80       49.7%       81       50.3%       161	SITE 936	BUTLER	21	48.8%	22	51.2%	43
NEW MADRID       2       16.7%       10       83.3%       12         PEMISCOT       18       54.5%       15       45.5%       33         RIPLEY       7       50.0%       7       50.0%       14         STODDARD       15       48.4%       16       51.6%       31         * SITE TOTAL *       80       49.7%       81       50.3%       161		DUNKLIN			11		
PEMISCOT       18       54.5%       15       45.5%       33         RIPLEY       7       50.0%       7       50.0%       14         STODDARD       15       48.4%       16       51.6%       31         * SITE TOTAL *       80       49.7%       81       50.3%       161		NEW MADRID					
RIPLEY       7       50.0%       7       50.0%       14         STODDARD       15       48.4%       16       51.6%       31         * SITE TOTAL *       80       49.7%       81       50.3%       161		PEMISCOT	18	54.5%	15		
STODDARD       15       48.4%       16       51.6%       31         * SITE TOTAL *       80       49.7%       81       50.3%       161							
* SITE TOTAL * 80 49.7% 81 50.3% 161		STODDARD			16		31
STATE TOTAL 1,984 48.3% 2,122 51.7% 4,106		* SITE TOTAL *	80	49.7%	81	50.3%	161
	STATE TOT	AL	1,984	48.3%	2,122	51.7%	4,106

Table 18. Race of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2014

	18. Kace of A				•									UNA	ABLE TO	
SITE	COUNTY		VHITE		ICAN AM		IVE AM		ASIAN		THI PACI		ΓI-RACIAL		ERMINE	TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON * SITE TOTAL *	221 <b>221</b>	57.9% <b>57.9%</b>		35.1% <b>35.1%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	8 <b>8</b>	2.1% <b>2.1%</b>	19 <b>19</b>	5.0% <b>5.0%</b>	382 <b>382</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	138	31.7%		59.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15	3.4%	25	5.7%	436
	ST LOUIS CITY * SITE TOTAL *	50 <b>188</b>	11.5% <b>21.6%</b>		75.9% <b>67.5%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	2 <b>2</b>	.5% <b>.2%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	13 <b>28</b>	3.0% <b>3.2%</b>	40 <b>65</b>	9.2% <b>7.5%</b>	436 <b>872</b>
SITE 733		33	56.9%		34.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	5.2%	2	3.4%	58
	CALLAWAY COLE	38 53	86.4% 67.9%	3 19	6.8% 24.4%	0 0	.0% .0%	2 2	4.5% 2.6%	1	2.3% .0%	0 1	.0% 1.3%	0 3	.0% 3.8%	44 78
	HOWARD	4	66.7%	2	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	RANDOLPH * SITE TOTAL *	56 <b>184</b>	87.5% <b>73.6%</b>	2 <b>46</b>	3.1% <b>18.4%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>4</b>	.0% <b>1.6%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>.4%</b>	3 <b>7</b>	4.7% <b>2.8%</b>	3 <b>8</b>	4.7% <b>3.2%</b>	64 <b>250</b>
SITE 734	ANDREW		100.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	5
	ATCHISON BUCHANAN	26	100.0% 63.4%		26.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.4%	3	7.3%	6 41
	CALDWELL		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CLINTON DAVIESS	8	100.0% 80.0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 1	.0% 20.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	8 5
	DE KALB		100.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	GENTRY	8 2	100.0% 66.7%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0%	0	.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 1	.0% 33.3%	8
	HOLT NODAWAY	13	76.5%	0	.0%	1	.0% 5.9%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0%		17.6%	3 17
	WORTH		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	83	79.8%		10.6%	1	1.0%	0	.0%	1	1.0%	1	1.0%	7	6.7%	104
SITE 735	CARTER CRAWFORD	1 7	100.0% 77.8%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 2	.0% 22.2%	1 9
	DENT	14	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	HOWELL		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9 6
	IRON OREGON	5 2	83.3% 100.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	16.7% .0%	0	.0% .0%	2
	PHELPS	30	93.8%	2	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	PULASKI REYNOLDS	13	61.9% 100.0%	3 0	14.3% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	1	4.8% .0%	2	9.5% .0%	2	9.5% .0%	21 5
	SHANNON		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	TEXAS		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	WAYNE * SITE TOTAL *	18 <b>124</b>	100.0% <b>90.5%</b>	0 <b>5</b>	.0% <b>3.7%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>.7%</b>	0 <b>3</b>	.0% <b>2.2%</b>	0 <b>4</b>	.0% <b>2.9%</b>	18 <b>137</b>
SITE 736	BATES	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	BENTON		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CAMDEN DALLAS	23	82.1% 100.0%	2	7.1% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	1 0	3.6% .0%	2 0	7.1% .0%	28 12
	HENRY		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	LACLEDE	51	96.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.9%	1	1.9%	53
	MILLER MONITEAU	11 10	91.7% 83.3%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 2	.0% 16.7%	1 0	8.3% .0%	12 12
	MORGAN		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	POLK		93.1%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.4%	29
	ST CLAIR WEBSTER	42	100.0% 97.7%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 1	.0% 2.3%	0	.0% .0%	1 43
	* SITE TOTAL *	223		3	1.3%	0	.0%	Ō	.0%	Ō	.0%	5	2.1%	5	2.1%	236
SITE 737	BARTON CEDAR	9 17	100.0% 89.5%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% 10.5%	9 19
	DADE		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	JASPER	72	80.9%	1	1.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%		14.6%	3	3.4%	89
	MCDONALD NEWTON	23 47	79.3% 83.9%	1 2	3.4% 3.6%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% 5.4%	5 4	17.2% 7.1%	29 56
	VERNON		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	184	84.4%	4	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16	7.3%	14	6.4%	218
SITE 738	BARRY CHRISTIAN	34 28	100.0% 96.6%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 1	.0% 3.4%	34 29
	DOUGLAS	35	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	LAWRENCE OZARK	37 4	90.2% 100.0%	2	4.9% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	2	4.9% .0%	41 4
	STONE		100.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	4 27
	TANEY	67	85.9%	6	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	2.6%	3	3.8%	78
	WRIGHT * SITE TOTAL *	39 <b>271</b>	97.5% <b>94.1%</b>	0 <b>8</b>	.0% <b>2.8%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>2</b>	.0% <b>.7%</b>	1 <b>7</b>	2.5% <b>2.4%</b>	40 <b>288</b>
	3		J/U		,	•	,0	•		J	.3,0	_	/0	•	, 0	

Table 18. Race of At-Risk Children Accepted During FY 2014

					•									UNA	ABLE TO	
SITE	COUNTY	W	VHITE	AFR	ICAN AM	NAT	IVE AM		ASIAN	NAT	HI PACI	MULT	ΓΙ-RACIAL	DET	ERMINE	TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	237	88.4%	15	5.6%	4	1.5%	0	.0%	4	1.5%	0	.0%	8	3.0%	268
	* SITE TOTAL *	237	88.4%	15	5.6%	4	1.5%	0	.0%	4	1.5%	0	.0%	8	3.0%	268
SITE 740	CHARITON	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	GRUNDY	20	95.2%	0	.0%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	HARRISON		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	LINN		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MERCER SULLIVAN		100.0% 100.0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	4 8
	* SITE TOTAL *	77	98.7%	ŏ	.0%	1	1.3%	Ŏ	.0%	ŏ	.0%	Ŏ	.0%	ŏ	.0%	78
CITE 021	LINICOLN	22	100.00/	0	.0%	0	00/	0	.0%	0	00/	0	.0%	0	00/	22
SITE 831	LINCOLN MARION	9	100.0% 90.0%		10.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0%	0	.0% .0%	10
	MONROE		100.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ő	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	3
	PIKE	23	88.5%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	26
	RALLS	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	ST CHARLES  * SITE TOTAL *	63 <b>123</b>	90.0% <b>91.1%</b>	5 <b>8</b>	7.1% <b>5.9%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	2 <b>3</b>	2.9% <b>2.2%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>.7%</b>	70 <b>135</b>
	SITE TOTAL	123	31.170		3.570	Ū	.070	U	.076	U	.070	,	2.2/0	_	.770	133
SITE 931		31	79.5%	4		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%		10.3%	0	.0%	39
	CLARK		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	LEWIS MACON	15 17	83.3% 81.0%	2	11.1% 9.5%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	1	5.6% 4.8%	0 1	.0% 4.8%	18 21
	SCHUYLER			0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	SCOTLAND		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SHELBY		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	110	88.0%	8	6.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	4.8%	1	.8%	125
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	24	75.0%	4	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	12.5%	32
	FRANKLIN	62	92.5%	2	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.5%	2	3.0%	67
	GASCONADE		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	JEFFERSON MONTGOMERY	121 15	94.5% 78.9%	3 0	2.3% .0%	0	.0% .0%	2	1.6% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% 15.8%	2 1	1.6% 5.3%	128 19
	OSAGE		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WARREN	39	67.2%	16	27.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	5.2%	58
	* SITE TOTAL *	284	86.9%	25	7.6%	0	.0%	2	.6%	0	.0%	4	1.2%	12	3.7%	327
SITE 933	CASS	46	88.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6	11.5%	52
	COOPER	14	73.7%	4	21.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	19
	JOHNSON	20	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	22
	LAFAYETTE PETTIS	13 44	76.5% 86.3%	3 4	17.6% 7.8%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	1	5.9% .0%	0 3	.0% 5.9%	17 51
	SALINE	8	80.0%	2	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	145	84.8%	13	7.6%	Ŏ	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	2	1.2%	11	6.4%	171
SITE 934	CARROLL	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
3112 334	CLAY	35	94.6%	2	5.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	PLATTE	16	84.2%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	RAY	3	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	25.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	59	90.8%	3	4.6%	0	.0%	2	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.5%	65
SITE 935			100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	CAPE GIRARDEAU		77.5%		15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	2.5%	4	5.0%	80
	MADISON MISSISSIPPI		100.0% 100.0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	19 3
	PERRY	23	95.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.2%	24
	ST FRANCOIS	92	95.8%	2	2.1%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	2	2.1%	96
	STE GENEVIEVE		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	16
	SCOTT	10	71.4%	3	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	14
	WASHINGTON * SITE TOTAL *	262	100.0% <b>90.7%</b>	0 <b>17</b>	.0% <b>5.9%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>3</b>	.0% <b>1.0%</b>	0 <b>7</b>	.0% <b>2.4%</b>	18 <b>289</b>
SITE 936		37	86.0%	3	7.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.3%	2	4.7%	43
	DUNKLIN NEW MADRID	19 6	67.9% 50.0%	9 6	32.1% 50.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	28 12
	PEMISCOT	19	57.6%		36.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	6.1%	33
	RIPLEY		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	Ō	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	STODDARD	30	96.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	31
	* SITE TOTAL *	125	77.6%	30	18.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.2%	4	2.5%	161
STATE TO	OTAL	2,900	70.6%	919	22.4%	6	.1%	10	.2%	7	.2%	90	2.2%	174	4.2%	4,106

Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2014

					.TIPLE	LEARNING	DISABLED	BEHAVI		EMOTIO	NALLY
SITE	COUNTY		ONE	CATE	GORIES	CLA	SS	DISABLE	D CLASS	DISABLED	CLASS
SITE 731		372	97.4%	4	1.0%	3	.8%	1	.3%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	372	97.4%	4	1.0%	3	.8%	1	.3%	0	.0%
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	418	95.9%	5	1.1%	4	.9%	4	.9%	0	.0%
	ST LOUIS CITY	421	96.6%	6	1.4%	1	.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	839	96.2%	11	1.3%	5	.6%	4	.5%	0	.0%
SITE 733	BOONE	56	96.6%	0	.0%	1	1.7%	1	1.7%	0	.0%
3112 733	CALLAWAY	40	90.9%	1	2.3%	Ō	.0%	Ō	.0%	0	.0%
	COLE	74	94.9%	0	.0%	Ō	.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%
	HOWARD	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	RANDOLPH	59	92.2%	0	.0%	3	4.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	235	94.0%	1	.4%	4	1.6%	1	.4%	0	.0%
SITE 734	ANDREW	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ATCHISON	6	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BUCHANAN	40	97.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.4%	0	.0%
	CALDWELL	3	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CLINTON	6	75.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%	1	12.5%	0	.0%
	DAVIESS	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DE KALB	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	GENTRY	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0 0	.0%
	HOLT NODAWAY	3 15	100.0% 88.2%	1	.0% 5.9%	0 0	.0% .0%	1	.0% 5.9%	0	.0% .0%
	WORTH	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	99	<b>95.2%</b>	2	1.9%	0	.0%	3	2.9%	0	.0%
CITE 72F	CARTER	0	00/	1	100.00/	0	00/	0	00/	0	00/
SITE 735	CRAWFORD	0 9	.0% 100.0%	1 0	100.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%
	DENT	11	78.6%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	1	7.1%	0	.0%
	HOWELL	9	100.0%	0	.0%	Ō	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	IRON	6	100.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%
	OREGON	2	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PHELPS	32	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	PULASKI	19	90.5%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	1	4.8%	0	.0%
	REYNOLDS	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	SHANNON	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TEXAS	11	84.6%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WAYNE * SITE TOTAL *	18 <b>129</b>	100.0% <b>94.2%</b>	0 <b>3</b>	.0% <b>2.2%</b>	0 <b>2</b>	.0% <b>1.5%</b>	0 <b>2</b>	.0% <b>1.5%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>
SITE 736		7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	BENTON	5	83.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CAMDEN	27	96.4%	0	.0%	0 0	.0%	1	3.6%	0 0	.0%
	DALLAS HENRY	12 15	100.0% 100.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%
	LACLEDE	52	98.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MILLER	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MONITEAU	12	100.0%	Ō	.0%	Ō	.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%
	MORGAN	18	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	POLK	29	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	ST CLAIR	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	WEBSTER * SITE TOTAL *	43	100.0%	0 <b>0</b>	.0%	0 <b>0</b>	.0%	0	.0%	0 <b>0</b>	.0%
	SHEIDIAL	233	98.7%	U	.0%	U	.0%	1	.4%	U	.0%
<b>SITE 737</b>	BARTON	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	CEDAR	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	DADE	10	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	JASPER	88	98.9%	1	1.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	MCDONALD NEWTON	28 53	96.6% 94.6%	0 0	.0% .0%	1 0	3.4% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0%
	VERNON	3	50.0%	1	.0% 16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0% .0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	210	96.3%	2	.9%	1	.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
CITE 720	DADDV	22	04.40/	2	00/	^	00/	4	2.00/	•	00/
SITE 738		32	94.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1 2	2.9%	0	.0%
	CHRISTIAN DOUGLAS	27 31	93.1% 88.6%	0	.0% .0%	0 1	.0% 2.9%	0	6.9% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%
	LAWRENCE	40	88.6% 97.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	OZARK	40	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	STONE	26	96.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	TANEY	77	98.7%	1	1.3%	ő	.0%	0	.0%	Ő	.0%
	WRIGHT	39	97.5%	1	2.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
	* SITE TOTAL *	276	95.8%	2	.7%	1	.3%	3	1.0%	Ō	.0%

Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2014

				DEVELOPN				PHYSICALLY				
SITE	COUNTY		HER	DISABLE		ENRICHMEN		CLAS		SUMMER		TOTAL
SITE 731	JACKSON * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	1 <b>1</b>	.3% <b>.3%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	1 <b>1</b>	.3% <b>.3%</b>	382 <b>382</b>
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.2%	0	.0%	4	.9%	436
	ST LOUIS CITY  * SITE TOTAL *	2 <b>2</b>	.5% <b>.2%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	2 <b>3</b>	.5% <b>.3%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	4 <b>8</b>	.9% <b>.9%</b>	436 <b>872</b>
SITE 733	ROONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	58
3112 733	CALLAWAY	1	2.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	4.5%	44
	COLE HOWARD	2 0	2.6% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	2 0	2.6% .0%	78 6
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	3.1%	64
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	1.2%	ŏ	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	6	2.4%	250
<b>SITE 734</b>	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	ATCHISON BUCHANAN	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	6 41
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	Ő	.0%	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	8
	DAVIESS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	HOLT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY WORTH	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0%	0	.0% .0%	17 4
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0% .0%	<b>0</b>	.0% .0%	0	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0	.0%	104
SITE 735		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	DENT	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	HOWELL IRON	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	9 6
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PHELPS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	PULASKI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	Ō	.0%	0	.0%	21
	REYNOLDS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHANNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	WAYNE * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>.7%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	18 <b>137</b>
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	BENTON	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28
	DALLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	LACLEDE MILLER	0 0	.0% .0%	1 0	1.9% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	53 12
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	MORGAN	0	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	ő	.0%	Ö	.0%	18
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>.4%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>.4%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	43 <b>236</b>
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
5112757	CEDAR	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	ő	.0%	Ö	.0%	19
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	JASPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	89
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	NEWTON	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	3.6%	56
	VERNON * SITE TOTAL *	2 <b>3</b>	33.3% <b>1.4%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>2</b>	.0% <b>.9%</b>	6 <b>218</b>
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.9%	34
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	8.6%	35
	LAWRENCE	1	2.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	41
	OZARK STONE	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 1	.0% 3.7%	4 27
	TANEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	3.7% .0%	27 78
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	40
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.3%	Ö	.0%	Ŏ	.0%	Ö	.0%	5	1.7%	288

Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2014

STE   COUNTY   NONE   CATEGORIS   CASS   DISABLE CLASS   DIS	Table	13. At-Misk Ci	illiai cii A	cceptcu				DICABLED	_			SNIALLY
STET 29] GIERN	CITE	COLINTY	N/	ONE								
SITE 707 AL.*  263 98.1% 1 A.W. 0 .0% 1 .4% 0 .0% 1 .00% 0 .0%												
GRUNDY 20 95.2% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 10 .0%	SIIE /39											
GRUNDY 20 95.2% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 10 .0%	SITE 740	CHARITON	7	87.5%	1	12 5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
HARRISON	3112 740											
LINN MERCER MERCER 4 100.0% 0 .0% 0												
MERCER												
*SULLIVAN *SITE TOTAL* 71 91.065 2 2.664 0 .094 0 .												
*SITE 931 LINCOLN 20 90.9% 1 1 4.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%   MARION 10 100.00% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%   PIRE 10 100.00% 1 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%   PIRE 10 100.00% 1 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%   ST CHARLES 67 95.7% 0 .0% 1 1 .4% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%   *SITE TOTAL * 129 95.6% 2 .1.5% 2 .1.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%   ST CHARLES 67 95.7% 0 .0% 1 1 .1.4% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%   ST CHARLES 67 95.7% 0 .0% 1 1 .1.4% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%   ST CHARLES 67 95.7% 0 .0% 1 1 .1.4% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%   ST CHARLES 67 95.7% 0 .0% 1 1 .1.4% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%   ST CHARLES 67 95.7% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 1 .1.4% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%   ST CHARLES 67 95.7% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 1 .0.4% 0 .0% 0 .0%   ST CHARLES 67 95.7% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%   ST CHARLES 67 95.7% 0 .0												
MARION												
MARION	SITE 921	LINCOLN	20	an a%	1	15%	1	1 5%	0	0%	0	0%
MONROE   3   100.0%   0   0.9%	3111 031											
PIKE RAILS 67 95.7% 0 0.0% 0 0												
RALLS ST CHARLES 67 95.7% 0 .0% 1 1.4% 0 .0% 0 .0%  *SITE TOTAL* 129 95.6% 2 1.5% 2 1.5% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 933 CASS STE TOTAL* 129 95.6% 2 1.5% 2 1.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 91 ADAIR 37 94.9% 1 1 2.6% 0 .0% 0												
*SITE 931 ADAIR  *SITE 931 ADAIR  37 94.9%  1 2.6%  0 .0%  0 .0%  SITE 931 ADAIR  37 94.9%  1 2.6%  0 .0%  0 .0%  0 .0%  0 .0%  1 2.6%  0 .0%  0 .0%  0 .0%  0 .0%  1 2.6%  0 .0%  1 3.1%  0 .0%  0 .0%  0 .0%  1 3.1%  0 .0%  0 .												
*SITE TOTAL * 129 95.6% 2 1.5% 2 1.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 2.6% CLARK 15 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 1 2.6% CLARK 15 100.0% 0 0.0% SCHUYLER 10 71.4% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% SCHUYLER 10 0 71.4% 0 0.0%												
CLARK												
CLARK	CITE 021	ADAID	27	04.0%	1	2 6%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2 6%
LEWIS   16	3111 331											
MACON   20   95.2%   1   4.8%   0   0.9%   0   0.9%   0   0.9%   0   0.9%   SCOTLAND   8   100.0%   0   0.9%												
SCHUYLER   10 71.4%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   SHELBY   10 100.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   1 8.8%   STET TOTAL*   116   92.8%   4 3.2%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   1 3.1%   STET TOTAL*   129   90.6%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   0 0.0%   1 3.1%   STET TOTAL*   129   90.6%   0 0.0%												
SCOTLAND												
SHELBY   10 100.0%   0 0%   0 0%   0 0%   0 0%   0 0%   1 8.8%												
*SITE FOTAL * 116 92.8% 4 3.2% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 .8%  SITE 932 AUDRAIN 29 90.6% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 3.1% FRANKLIN 62 92.5% 1 1.5% 2 3.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% GASCONADE 18 90.0% 0 .0% 1 5.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% GASCONADE 18 90.0% 0 .0% 1 5.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% GASCONADE 18 90.0% 0 .0% 2 1.6% 2 1.6% 1 8.8% MONTGOMERY 19 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% OSAGE 3 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% WARREN 55 94.8% 1 1.7% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% WARREN 55 94.8% 1 1.7% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% SITE 933 CASS 52 100.0% 0 .0% 5 1.5% 2 .66% 2 .6%  COOPER 18 94.7% 1 5.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% DIAFAYETTE 17 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% LAFAYETTE 17 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% SALINE 99 90.0% 1 10.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% SALINE 99 90.0% 1 10.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 934 CARROLL 5 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  CLAY 366 97.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 935 BOLLINGER 17 89.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 936 SOLLINGER 17 89.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 937 SITE TOTAL 8 63 96.9% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 938 SOLLINGER 17 89.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 939 SOLLINGER 17 89.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 936 BULLINGER 17 89.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 937 SITE TOTAL 8 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1												
SITE 932   AUDRAIN   29   90.6%   0   0.0%												
FRANKLIN 62 92.5% 1 1.5% 2 3.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%		" SHE IOIAL "	116	92.8%	4	3.2%	U	.0%	U	.0%	1	.8%
GASCONADE  JEFFERSON  JEO  JEFFERSON  JEFFERSON  JEO  JEFFERSON	SITE 932	AUDRAIN	29	90.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.1%
IFFFERSON   120   93.8%   0   0%   2   1.6%   2   1.6%   1   8%     MONTGOMERY   19   100.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%     OSAGE   3   100.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%     WARREN   55   94.8%   1   1.7%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%     * SITE TOTAL*   306   93.6%   2   .66%   5   1.5%   2   .66%   2   .66%    SITE 933   CASS   52   100.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%     COOPER   18   94.7%   1   5.33%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%     LAFAVETTE   17   100.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%     PETTIS   49   96.1%   2   3.9%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%     SALINE   9   90.0%   1   10.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%    *SITE 934   CARROLL   5   100.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%     CLAY   36   97.3%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%     RAY   4   100.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%    *SITE TOTAL*   63   96.9%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%    *SITE TOTAL*   63   96.9%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%    *SITE TOTAL*   63   96.9%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%    *SITE TOTAL*   63   96.9%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%    *SITE TOTAL*   63   96.9%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%    *SITE TOTAL*   63   96.9%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%    *SITE TOTAL*   63   96.9%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%    *SITE TOTAL*   63   96.9%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%   0   0.0%    *SITE TOTAL*   63   96.9%   0   0.0%		FRANKLIN	62	92.5%	1	1.5%	2	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
MONTGOMERY		GASCONADE	18	90.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
OSAGE WARREN 55 94.8% 1 1.7% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  *SITE TOTAL* 306 93.6% 2 0.6% 5 1.5% 2 0.6% 2 0.6%  SITE 933 CASS COOPER 18 94.7% 1 5.3% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  JOHNSON 21 95.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  LAFAYETTE 17 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  PETTIS 49 9 96.1% 2 3.9% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  SALINE 99 90.0% 1 10.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  *SITE 934 CARROLL 5 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  *SITE 934 CARROLL 5 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  PLATTE 18 94.7% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  PLATTE 18 94.7% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  PLATTE 18 94.7% 0 0.0% 1 5.3% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  *SITE 935 BOLLINGER 17 89.5% 0 0.0% 1 1.55% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  SITE 936 CARROLL 5 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  *SITE 937 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  *SITE 938 BOLLINGER 17 89.5% 1 1.3% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  MISSISSIPP 1 1 33.3% 2 66.7% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%			120	93.8%	0	.0%	2		2	1.6%	1	
OSAGE WARREN 55 94.8% 1 1.7% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  *SITE TOTAL* 306 93.6% 2 0.6% 5 1.5% 2 0.6% 2 0.6%  SITE 933 CASS COOPER 18 94.7% 1 5.3% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  JOHNSON 21 95.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  LAFAYETTE 17 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  PETTIS 49 9 96.1% 2 3.9% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  SALINE 99 90.0% 1 10.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  *SITE 934 CARROLL 5 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  *SITE 934 CARROLL 5 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  PLATTE 18 94.7% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  PLATTE 18 94.7% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  PLATTE 18 94.7% 0 0.0% 1 5.3% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  *SITE 935 BOLLINGER 17 89.5% 0 0.0% 1 1.55% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  SITE 936 CARROLL 5 100.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  *SITE 937 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  *SITE 938 BOLLINGER 17 89.5% 1 1.3% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  MISSISSIPP 1 1 33.3% 2 66.7% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.5% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.10.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 0 0.0% 0 0.0%		MONTGOMERY	19	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
WARREN					0				0		0	
*SITE TOTAL *  306 93.6% 2 .6% 5 1.5% 2 .6% 2 .6%  SITE 933 CASS 52 100.0% 0 .					1		0		0		0	
COOPER 18 94.7% 1 5.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1												
COOPER 18 94.7% 1 5.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1	SITE 933	CASS	52	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
JOHNSON												
LAFAYETTE							0		0		0	
PETTIS												
SALINE												
*SITE TOTAL * 166 97.1% 4 2.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 934 CARROLL 5 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  CLAY 36 97.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  PLATTE 18 94.7% 0 .0% 1 5.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  RAY 4 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  *SITE TOTAL * 63 96.9% 0 .0% 1 1.5% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 935 BOLLINGER 17 89.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  CAPE GIRARDEAU 77 96.3% 1 1.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  MADISON 17 89.5% 2 10.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  MISSISSIPPI 1 33.3% 2 666.7% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  PERRY 22 91.7% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  ST FRANCOIS 90 93.8% 2 2.1% 1 1.0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  STE GENEVIEVE 15 93.8% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SCOTT 14 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SCOTT 14 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  WASHINGTON 18 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 936 BUTLER 43 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  PERMY 27 93.8% 7 2.4% 1 33% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 936 BUTLER 43 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  RIPLEY 14 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  REWINDIAL **  STODDARD 29 93.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  *SITE TOTAL **  STODDARD 29 93.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  *SITE TOTAL **  SITE TOTAL **												
CLAY 36 97.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% PLATTE 18 94.7% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 5.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% PLATTE 18 94.7% 0 .0% 0												
CLAY 36 97.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% PLATTE 18 94.7% 0 .0% 0 .0% 1 5.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% PLATTE 18 94.7% 0 .0% 0	SITE 934	CARROLL	5	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
PLATTE	JJ-T											
RAY												
*SITE TOTAL * 63 96.9% 0 .0% 1 1.5% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 935 BOLLINGER 17 89.5% 0 .0.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%												
CAPE GIRARDEAU 77 96.3% 1 1.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% MADISON 17 89.5% 2 10.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%												
CAPE GIRARDEAU 77 96.3% 1 1.3% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% MADISON 17 89.5% 2 10.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%	SITE 935	BOLLINGER	17	89.5%	Ω	.0%	Ο	.0%	n	.0%	n	.0%
MADISON	0											
MISSISSIPPI												
PERRY   22   91.7%   0   .0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   ST FRANCOIS   90   93.8%   2   2.1%   1   1.0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   STE GENEVIEVE   15   93.8%   0   .0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   SCOTT   14   100.0%   0   .0%   0												
ST FRANCOIS   90   93.8%   2   2.1%   1   1.0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   STE GENEVIEVE   15   93.8%   0   .0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   SCOTT   14   100.0%   0   .0%   0												
STE GENEVIEVE   15   93.8%   0   .0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   0   .0%   SCOTT   14   100.0%   0   .0%   0												
SCOTT												
WASHINGTON * SITE TOTAL *         18         100.0%         0         <												
*SITE TOTAL * 271 93.8% 7 2.4% 1 .3% 0 .0% 0 .0%  SITE 936 BUTLER 43 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  DUNKLIN 28 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  NEW MADRID 12 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  PEMISCOT 33 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  RIPLEY 14 100.0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  STODDARD 29 93.5% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%  *SITE TOTAL * 159 98.8% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%												
DUNKLIN         28         100.0%         0         .0%         0         .0%         0         .0%         0         .0%           NEW MADRID         12         100.0%         0         .0%<												
DUNKLIN         28         100.0%         0         .0%         0         .0%         0         .0%         0         .0%           NEW MADRID         12         100.0%         0         .0%<	SITE 036	RUTI FR	ΛO	100 0%	Ω	0%	Ω	<b>0%</b>	Ω	Λ%	Ω	Λ%
NEW MADRID         12         100.0%         0         .0%           * SITE TOTAL *         159         98.8%         0         .0%         0         .0%         0         .0%         0         .0%	311L 330											
PEMISCOT       33       100.0%       0       .0%       0       .0%       0       .0%       0       .0%         RIPLEY       14       100.0%       0       .0% <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>												
RIPLEY       14       100.0%       0       .0%       0       .0%       0       .0%       0       .0%         STODDARD       29       93.5%       0       .0%       0       .0%       0       .0%       0       .0%       0       .0%       0       .0%       0       .0%       0       .0%       0       .0%       0       .0%       0       .0%												
STODDARD         29         93.5%         0         .0%												
* SITE TOTAL * 159 98.8% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0% 0 .0%												
STATE TOTAL 3,937 95.9% 47 1.1% 25 .6% 18 .4% 3 .1%												
	STATE TO	TAL	3,937	95.9%	47	1.1%	25	.6%	18	.4%	3	.1%

Table 19. At-Risk Children Accepted By Special Education Category During FY 2014

iabic	13. At-NISK CI	illai Cii A	ccepted	DEVELOPN		acation (		PHYSICALLY				
SITE	COUNTY	01	THER	DISABLE		FNRICHME	NT CLASSES	CLAS			R SCHOOL	TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	1	.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	.7%	268
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.4%	0	.0%	Ō	.0%	2	.7%	268
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	LINN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	14.8%	27
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SULLIVAN  * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>1.3%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>4</b>	.0% <b>5.1%</b>	8 <b>78</b>
SITE 831	LINCOLN MARION	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	22 10
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	26
	RALLS	0	.0%	Ő	.0%	0	.0%	Ő	.0%	Ö	.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	2	2.9%	70
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.5%	135
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	39
	CLARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	LEWIS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	MACON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.1%	0	.0%	3	21.4%	14
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	.8%	0	.0%	3	2.4%	125
SITE 932	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	6.3%	32
	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	3.0%	67
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	JEFFERSON	1	.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.6%	128
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WARREN * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>.3%</b>	0 <b>1</b>	.0% <b>.3%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	2 <b>8</b>	3.4% <b>2.4%</b>	58 <b>327</b>
SITE 933	CASS COOPER	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	52 19
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	LAFAYETTE	ő	.0%	0	.0%	Ő	.0%	ő	.0%	ő	.0%	17
	PETTIS	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	ő	.0%	Ö	.0%	51
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	1	.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	171
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	PLATTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	65
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1	1.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.3%	80
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PERRY	2	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	24
	ST FRANCOIS	1	1.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	2.1%	96
	STE GENEVIEVE SCOTT	1 0	6.3% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	16 14
	WASHINGTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	2.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	1.0%	<b>289</b>
SITE 936	RUTUER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	43
JIIE 330	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	43 28
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	RIPLEY	Ö	.0%	ő	.0%	Ö	.0%	ő	.0%	Ö	.0%	14
	STODDARD	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	6.5%	31
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	1.2%	161
STATE TO	DTAL	18	.4%	5	.1%	7	.2%	0	.0%	46	1.1%	4,106

Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2014

	PSYCHIATRIC											
SITE	COUNTY		SPITAL	RESI	DENTIAL	FOST	ER HOME	EMERG	SHELTER	DETEN	TION	
SITE 731	JACKSON	3	.8%	8	2.1%	223	58.4%	19	5.0%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	3	.8%	8	2.1%	223	58.4%	19	5.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	16	3.7%	33	7.6%	282	64.7%	5	1.1%	0	.0%	
	ST LOUIS CITY	1	.2%	15	3.4%	281	64.5%	15	3.4%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	17	2.0%	48	5.5%	563	64.6%	20	2.3%	0	.0%	
SITE 733	BOONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	52	89.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	CALLAWAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	40	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	COLE HOWARD	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	67 3	85.9% 50.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	
	RANDOLPH	0	.0%	0	.0%	57	89.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	Ō	.0%	Ō	.0%	219	87.6%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	
CITE 724	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	_	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
311E /34	ATCHISON	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	BUCHANAN	0	.0%	1	2.4%	37	90.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	CLINTON DAVIESS	0 0	.0% .0%	1	12.5% .0%	7 4	87.5% 80.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	HOLT	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	NODAWAY	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	14	82.4%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	
	WORTH * SITE TOTAL *	0	.0% .0%	2	.0% <b>1.9%</b>	95	100.0% <b>91.3%</b>	0	.0% . <b>0%</b>	0	.0%	
	5112 101712	·	1070	_	2.370		31.370	·	1070	·	.070	
SITE 735		0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	CRAWFORD DENT	1 0	11.1% .0%	2	22.2% .0%	0 9	.0% 64.3%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	
	HOWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	88.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	IRON	0	.0%	1	16.7%	1	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	PHELPS	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% 14.3%	12	37.5% 57.1%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	
	PULASKI REYNOLDS	0	.0%	3 0	.0%	12 2	57.1% 40.0%	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	
	SHANNON	0	.0%	1	14.3%	4	57.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	TEXAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	WAYNE	0	.0%	0	.0%	14	77.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.7%	8	5.8%	68	49.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
<b>SITE 736</b>	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	CAMDEN DALLAS	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	28 9	100.0% 75.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	53	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	MONITEAU MORGAN	0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	12 16	100.0% 88.9%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	0	.0%	231	97.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
<b>SITE 737</b>	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	CEDAR	0	.0%	2	10.5%	12		0	.0%	0	.0%	
	DADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	JASPER MCDONALD	1 3	1.1% 10.3%	0	.0% .0%	72 25	80.9% 86.2%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	
	NEWTON	2	3.6%	1	1.8%	49	87.5%	Ö	.0%	Ö	.0%	
	VERNON	0	.0%	2	33.3%	4	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	2.8%	5	2.3%	180	82.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	1	2.9%	32	94.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	CHRISTIAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	19	65.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	3	8.6%	32		0	.0%	0	.0%	
	LAWRENCE OZARK	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%	40 4	97.6% 100.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	
	STONE	0	.0%	1	3.7%	26	96.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	TANEY	0	.0%	5	6.4%	64	82.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	WRIGHT	0	.0%	2	5.0%	37	92.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	12	4.2%	254	88.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	

Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2014

SITE	COUNTY	RELA <sup>-</sup>	TIVE CARE	DS	SS DYS	DI	νн	М	ISSING	TOTAL
<b>SITE 731</b>	JACKSON	127	33.2%	1	.3%	0	.0%	1	.3%	382
	* SITE TOTAL *	127	33.2%	1	.3%	0	.0%	1	.3%	382
SITE 732	ST LOUIS COUNTY	95	21.8%	1	.2%	3	.7%	1	.2%	436
	ST LOUIS CITY	118	27.1%	4	.9%	0	.0%	2	.5%	436
	* SITE TOTAL *	213	24.4%	5	.6%	3	.3%	3	.3%	872
SITE 733	BOONE	6	10.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	58
	CALLAWAY	4	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	44
	COLE	11	14.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	78
	HOWARD	3	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	RANDOLPH	7	10.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	64
	* SITE TOTAL *	31	12.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	250
SITE 734	ANDREW	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	ATCHISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	BUCHANAN	2	4.9%	1	2.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	41
	CALDWELL	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	CLINTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	DAVIESS	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	DE KALB	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	GENTRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	HOLT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	NODAWAY	3	17.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	WORTH	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	4.8%	2	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	104
SITE 735	CARTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	CRAWFORD	6	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	DENT	5	35.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	HOWELL	0	.0%	1	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	IRON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	66.7%	6
	OREGON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2
	PHELPS	20	62.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	PULASKI	6	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	REYNOLDS	3	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	SHANNON	2	28.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	TEXAS	9	69.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	13
	WAYNE	3	16.7%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	54	39.4%	2	1.5%	0	.0%	4	2.9%	137
SITE 736	BATES	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	7
	BENTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	CAMDEN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	28
	DALLAS	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	HENRY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	LACLEDE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	53
	MILLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	MONITEAU	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
	MORGAN	2	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	POLK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	ST CLAIR	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1
	WEBSTER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	43
	* SITE TOTAL *	5	2.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	236
SITE 737	BARTON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	9
	CEDAR	5	26.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	DADE	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	JASPER	16	18.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	89
	MCDONALD	0	.0%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	NEWTON	4	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	56
	VERNON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	6
	* SITE TOTAL *	26	11.9%	1	.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	218
SITE 738	BARRY	0	.0%	1	2.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	34
	CHRISTIAN	3	10.3%	7	24.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	29
	DOUGLAS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	35
	LAWRENCE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.4%	41
	OZARK	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	STONE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	TANEY	2	2.6%	7	9.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	78
	WRIGHT	1	2.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	40
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	2.1%	15	5.2%	0	.0%	1	.3%	288

Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2014

	PSYC	HIATRIC										
SITE	COUNTY		SPITAL	RESI	DENTIAL	FOST	ER HOME	EMERG	SHELTER	DETEN	ITION	
SITE 739	GREENE	0	.0%	2	.7%	225	84.0%	1	.4%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	.7%	225	84.0%	1	.4%	0	.0%	
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	.0%	1	12.5%	7	87.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
3112 740	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	ő	.0%	Ő	.0%	
	LINN	0	.0%	1	3.7%	25		0	.0%	0	.0%	
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	8	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	2	2.6%	75	96.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	20	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	MARION	0	.0%	1	10.0%	8	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	MONROE	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	PIKE	0	.0%	2	7.7%	19	73.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	ST CHARLES	1	1.4%	7	10.0%	35	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	.7%	10	7.4%	88	65.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 931	ADAIR	0	.0%	3	7.7%	23	59.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	CLARK	0	.0%	1	6.7%	10	66.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%	
	LEWIS	0	.0%	1	5.6%	9	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	MACON	0	.0%	1	4.8%	14	66.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	SCHUYLER	0	.0%	0	.0%	9	64.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	SCOTLAND	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	SHELBY	0	.0%	0	.0%	7	70.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	6	4.8%	74	59.2%	1	.8%	0	.0%	
<b>SITE 932</b>	AUDRAIN	0	.0%	1	3.1%	23	71.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	FRANKLIN	0	.0%	2	3.0%	59	88.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	GASCONADE	0	.0%	0	.0%	17	85.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	JEFFERSON	6	4.7%	11	8.6%	93	72.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	MONTGOMERY	0	.0%	0	.0%	16	84.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	WARREN	0	.0%	5	8.6%	40	69.0%	1	1.7%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	1.8%	19	5.8%	251	76.8%	1	.3%	0	.0%	
SITE 933	CASS	0	.0%	2	3.8%	37	71.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	COOPER	0	.0%	0	.0%	17	89.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	JOHNSON	0	.0%	2	9.1%	19	86.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	2	11.8%	15	88.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	PETTIS	0	.0%	3	5.9%	45	88.2%	2	3.9%	0	.0%	
	SALINE	0	.0%	1	10.0%	9	90.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	0	.0%	10	5.8%	142	83.0%	2	1.2%	0	.0%	
SITE 934	CARROLL	0	.0%	1	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	CLAY	2	5.4%	3	8.1%	24	64.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	PLATTE	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	5	26.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	RAY	2	50.0%	1	25.0%	1	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	6	9.2%	6	9.2%	30	46.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
SITE 935		0	.0%	1	5.3%	15	78.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	4	5.0%	3	3.8%	70		1	1.3%	0	.0%	
	MADISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	14		0	.0%	0	.0%	
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	PERRY	0	.0%	1	4.2%	19	79.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	ST FRANCOIS	2	2.1%	9	9.4%	53		0	.0%	0	.0%	
	STE GENEVIEVE	0	.0%	1	6.3%	10		0	.0%	0	.0%	
	SCOTT WASHINGTON	0 1	.0% 5.6%	0 1	.0% 5.6%	14	100.0% 77.8%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	7	2.4%	16	5.5%		77.8% <b>73.4%</b>	1	.0% . <b>3%</b>	0	.0%	
SITE 936		0	.0%	3	7.0%	37	86.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	DUNKLIN	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	NEW MADRID	0	.0%	1	8.3%	11		0	.0%	0	.0%	
	PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%		100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	
	RIPLEY STODDARD	0 0	.0% .0%	0	.0% .0%		100.0%	0 0	.0% .0%	0 0	.0% .0%	
	* SITE TOTAL *	<b>0</b>	.0% . <b>0%</b>	4	.0% <b>2.5%</b>		100.0% <b>95.7%</b>	<b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	.0% . <b>0%</b>	
<b></b> -								_				
STATE TO	JIAL	47	1.1%	158	3.8%	3,084	75.1%	45	1.1%	0	.0%	

Table 20. At-Risk Children Accepted by Planned Placement Prevented During FY 2014

SITE	COUNTY	RELA <sup>*</sup>	TIVE CARE	DS	SS DYS		омн	M	ISSING	TOTAL
SITE 739	GREENE	23	8.6%	1	.4%	0	.0%	16	6.0%	268
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	8.6%	1	.4%	Ŏ	.0%	16	6.0%	268
CITE 740	CHARITON	0	00/	_	00/	0	00/	0	00/	0
SITE 740	CHARITON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	GRUNDY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	HARRISON	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	LINN	1	3.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	27
	MERCER	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	SULLIVAN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	* SITE TOTAL *	1	1.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	78
SITE 831	LINCOLN	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	9.1%	22
	MARION	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	MONROE	1	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PIKE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	19.2%	26
	RALLS	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	ST CHARLES	25	35.7%	1	1.4%	0	.0%	1	1.4%	70
	* SITE TOTAL *	27	20.0%	1	.7%	0	.0%	8	5.9%	135
SITE 931	ADAIR	12	30.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.6%	39
3111 331	CLARK	3	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	15
	LEWIS	8	44.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	18
	MACON	5	23.8%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	21
	SCHUYLER	3	23.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	.0% 14.3%	14
	SCOTLAND	6	75.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	8
	SHELBY	1	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	20.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	38	30.4%	1	.8%	0	.0%	5	4.0%	125
<b>SITE 932</b>	AUDRAIN	4	12.5%	4	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	32
	FRANKLIN	3	4.5%	3	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	67
	GASCONADE	3	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	20
	JEFFERSON	12	9.4%	3	2.3%	0	.0%	3	2.3%	128
	MONTGOMERY	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	OSAGE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	WARREN	12	20.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	58
	* SITE TOTAL *	36	11.0%	11	3.4%	0	.0%	3	.9%	327
SITE 933	CASS	12	23.1%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	52
3112 333	COOPER	1	5.3%	1	5.3%	Ő	.0%	0	.0%	19
	JOHNSON	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	22
	LAFAYETTE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	17
	PETTIS	Ö	.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	51
	SALINE	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	10
	* SITE TOTAL *	14	8.2%	3	1.8%	ŏ	.0%	ő	.0%	171
	0.000		00.00/	•	00/		00/	•	00/	_
SITE 934	CARROLL	4	80.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5
	CLAY	8	21.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	37
	PLATTE	11	57.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	19
	RAY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4
	* SITE TOTAL *	23	35.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	65
SITE 935	BOLLINGER	2	10.5%	0	.0%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	19
	CAPE GIRARDEAU	1	1.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.3%	80
	MADISON	1	5.3%	2	10.5%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	19
	MISSISSIPPI	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3
	PERRY	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	1	4.2%	24
	ST FRANCOIS	9	9.4%	19	19.8%	3	3.1%	1	1.0%	96
	STE GENEVIEVE	1	6.3%	3	18.8%	1	6.3%	0	.0%	16
	SCOTT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	WASHINGTON	Ō	.0%	1	5.6%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	18
	* SITE TOTAL *	15	5.2%	26	9.0%	9	3.1%	3	1.0%	289
SITE 936	DIITIED	2	7.0%	0	.0%	0	00/	0	.0%	43
3116 336		3		0		0	.0%	0		43
	DUNKLIN NEW MADDID		.0%		.0%		.0%		.0%	28
	NEW MADRID PEMISCOT	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	12
		0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	33
	RIPLEY	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	14
	STODDARD  * SITE TOTAL *	0 <b>3</b>	.0% <b>1.9%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	0 <b>0</b>	.0% <b>.0%</b>	31 <b>161</b>
	JIL IOIAL	3	1.5/0	U	.0/0	J	.0/0	J	.0/0	101
STATE TO	DTAL	647	15.8%	69	1.7%	12	.3%	44	1.1%	4,106

Table 21. At-Risk Children Problems Addressed for Children Accepted During FY 2014

Parent/Child Conflict	1,722	41.9%
Communication	1,554	37.8%
Child Neglect	1,490	36.3%
Parenting Skills Problems	1,018	24.8%
Mental Health Problems	908	22.1%
Emotional Problems	804	19.6%
School Problems	628	15.3%
Physical Abuse	420	10.2%
Delinquent Behavior	353	8.6%
Severe Financial Problems	309	7.5%
Homelessness	308	7.5%
Medical Illness/Disability	261	6.4%
Shelter	255	6.2%
Physical Violence	237	5.8%
Transportation	208	5.1%
Sexual Abuse	177	4.3%
Developmental Disability	170	4.1%
Drug Abuse	115	2.8%
Alcohol Abuse	60	1.5%
Runaway	60	1.5%
Suicide Attempts	46	1.1%
Criminal Behavior	36	.9%
Marital Conflict	21	.5%
Pregnancy	12	.3%
Prostitution	3	.1%

#### Notes: At-Risk Children Served Tables

Tables are based upon at-risk children accepted to Intensive In-Home Services during the fiscal year unless otherwise stated. Each at-risk child is only counted once. If an at-risk child is involved in multiple IIS interventions, the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.

#### Table 19 At-Risk Children Accepted by Special Education Category During FY 2014

- NO SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who did not have a special education category code selected.
- MULTIPLE SPEC ED CATEGORY includes at-risk children who had more than one category of special education selected.
- LEARNING DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the learning disable category selected.
- BEHAVIORALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the behaviorally disable category selected.
- EMOTIONALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the emotionally disable category selected.
- OTHER ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the other category selected.
- DEVELOPMENTALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the developmentally disable category selected.
- ENRICHMENT ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the enrichment category selected.
- PHYSICALLY DISABLE ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the physically disable category selected.
- SUMMER SCHOOL ONLY includes at-risk children who had only the summer school category selected.

# Table 21 At-Risk Children Problems Addressed for Families Accepted into Intensive In-Home Services During FY 2014

 Percent is the percentage of total at-risk children. Percent total is greater than 100 because an at-risk child may have up to four problems addressed.



Table 22. Checking for 1st Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report for At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services

(Based on 1st Substantiated CA/N Report During or After IIS Exit)

Fiscal Year	No CAN	During IIS	0<=3 Mths	3<=6 Mths	6<=12 Mths	12<=24 Mths	24<=36 Mths	36<=48 Mths	At-risk Children Exiting
FY 2010	3,197	84	74	36	92	101	92	50	3,726
FY 2011	3,018	44	67	36	94	87	70	0	3,416
FY 2012	3,185	41	67	56	72	94	0	0	3,515
FY 2013	3,421	62	73	67	87	0	0	0	3,710

Table 23. At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services who were listed as victims of a Substantiated Child Abuse/Neglect Report within 48 months of their exit





Table 24. Checking for 1st Entry into Legal Status 1 for At-Risk Children
Exiting Intensive In-Home Services
(Based on 1st Entry into LS1 During or After Exit)

Fiscal Year	No OOH	During IIS	0<=3 Mths		_	12<=24 Mths			
FY 2010	2,628	263	271	95	125	146	115	83	3,726
FY 2011	2,563	200	217	90	116	139	91	0	3,416
FY 2012	2,751	178	189	105	110	182	0	0	3,515
FY 2013	2,970	212	224	175	129	0	0	0	3,710

Table 25. At-Risk Children Exiting Intensive In-Home Services who entered Legal Status 1 within 48 months of their exit



#### **Notes: Outcomes During FY 2014**

At-Risk children who exited IIS during fiscal years 2010, 2011, 2012 and 2013 were checked to see if they had entered CD custody or if they were listed as a victim of a substaniated CA/N report during IIS intervention up to 48 months of exiting IIS. These past fiscal years were used to give children exiting enough time to see if the events had occurred. FY 2010 is the only fiscal year, at the time of this report, to allow a 48 month follow-up. FY 2011, FY 2012 and FY 2013 have follow-ups of 36, 24 and 12 months, respectively.

## Table 22 Families and At-Risk Children Exiting IIS During Fiscal Years 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013

 Each family and at-risk child are counted only once. If a family or child were involved in multiple IIS interventions, the exit associated with the earliest intervention for the fiscal year was used.